Islamic Republic of Iran Vice Presidency for Strategic Planning and Supervision

Guideline for seismic evaluation and rehabilitation of power supply systems

No. 607

Office of Deputy for Strategic Supervision Department of Technical Affairs nezamfanni.ir 2012



Table of Content				Page Number
Chapter One-Generalities				
1-Generalities				
1-1-Objectives				
1-2-Scope of application				
1-3-Target components				
1-4-Related regulations				
1-5-Structure of guideline				5
Chapter Two-seismic evaluation procedu	ıre			
2-1-Seismic evaluation approaches				9
2-2-Pre-evaluation				
2-2-1-Types of evaluation requests				
2-2-2-Factors effective on performance	evaluation			
2-2-3-Identification of seismic hazard				
2-2-4-Seismic vulnerability identificatio	n			
2-2-5-Seismic performance				
2-2-6- Evaluation studies planning				
2-3-Seismic Evaluation Stages				
2-3-1-Determining importance of compo	onent or sy	stem		
2-3-2-Earthquake hazard level				
2-3-3-Performance levels of system com	ponents			
Chapter Three-Seismic Evaluation Meth	ods			
3-Seismic Evaluation Methods				
3-1-Target components				
3-2-Seismic evaluation methods of com	oonents			
3-2-1-Buildings seismic evaluation				
3-2-2-Non-building structures seismic ev	valuation.			
3-2-3-Equipments seismic evaluation				
3-2-4-Non-structural components seismi	c evaluatio	on		
3-2-5-Network and lines seismic evaluat	ion			
3-3-Inspection in Qualitative Evaluation				
3-4-Collecting Required Information in	Detailed F	valuation		
3-4-1-Collecting documents of design at	nd operation	n		
3-4-2-Visual inspection and extracting v	isible and	effective pro	blems	30
3-4-3-Performing materials and soil test	s and haza	rd analysis st	udies	
3-5-Seismic Evaluation U	M	N	А	30
3-5-1-Equivalent static method	1,1	11	11	31
3-5-2-Spectrum method				31
3-5-3-Time-history method				
3-6-Considering the Systems Seismic In	teraction F	Effect		31
3-7-Acceptance Criteria				32
3-7-1-Imposed loads combinations	/	2010	\	33
3-7-2-	1			
3-7-3-Controls related to displacement a	nd overtur	n		33
		11C	3	

3-7-4-Equipments bracing strength and capacity	
3-7-5-Acceptance criteria in nonlinear dynamic methods	
Chapter Four-Seismic Rehabilitation Procedure	
4-1-Rehabilitation Prioritization	
4-2-Seismic Rehabilitation Procedure	
Chapter Five-Seismic Rehabilitation Methods	
5-1-Rehabilitation Method Selection Approach	
5-2-Rehabilitation Method Type	
5-2-1-Power plants	
5-2-1-1-Non-building structures	
5-2-1-2Equipments	
5-2-1-3-Buildings	
5-2-2-Substations	
5-2-2-1-Non-building structures	
5-2-2-Equipments	
5-2-2-3-Buildings	59
5-2-3-Super-distribution transmission network	59
5-2-4-Urban distribution network	
5-2-4-1-Non-building structures	
5-2-4-2-Equipments	
5-2-4-3-Buildings	
Appendix	



Chapter 1

Generalities





1-Generalities

Electricity provides the energy needed for production and delivering services, light and heating, and the force needed for establishing contacts and information technology, and generally facilitates the E

damages to such systems and cause power cut. Lack of awareness about the vulnerability level, strength and required safety, and not performing sufficient seismic rehabilitations, increases the consequences and damages resulted from earthquake, and with lack of proper bracing, the emergency conditions may lead to catastrophe and critical conditions.

1-1-Objectives

The objective of seismic evaluation and rehabilitation if power supply systems is to acknowledge their seismic safety and then minimizing the consequences resulted from earthquake on these systems and components. Maintaining the integrity and safe performance of this system ensures lack of unacceptable risks for human lives and their properties as well as the environment. The main objectives in preparing this guideline are:

- Defining and determining the general criteria of seismic vulnerability evaluation for current power supply systems which are applied nationwide uniformly and in concert with each other.
- Presenting seismic rehabilitation approaches for power supply system components to manage hazard reduction and contingent emergency and critical conditions.

1-2-Scope of application

The contents of this guideline are applicable on power supply systems including every power supply vital artery in transmission, super-distribution, and distribution sectors in different capacities and sizes (voltage levels of 400, 230, 132, and 63 kV). The contents of this guideline provides a ground to promote the engineering knowledge level in the field of seismic safety, but the responsibility for correct interpretation and application of its contents is on users. The contents of this guideline will be reviewed and revised over time and the users should use its latest updated version.

The safety evaluation against other natural and unnatural factors as well as the considerations related to them are not presented within the framework of this guideline and should be reviewed complementarily in case necessary. The necessities of the guideline are similar for both permanent and temporary installations.

1-3-Target components

The target components are divided into two major categories in this guideline:

- Stationary components including buildings, non-building structures, equipments, and nonstructural components in power-plants and substations.
- Line (power transmission lines) and network (power distribution) components.



The stationary components are generally on-ground, except for some limited cases, while line and network structures are both underground/buried in some cases and on-ground in other cases. The stationary structures are essentially affected by the ground acceleration from earthquakes, while buried line and network structures receive more impacts from the ground velocity response to earthquake. Also, the stationary equipments consist include indoor and outdoor types.

Unlike buildings which their mass is nearly uniformly distributed in different floors, the stationary structures of vital arteries lack a certain mass distribution; therefore, the inertia force from earthquake is applied on their center of mass. This f

acceleration in terms of seismic factor. For some maintaining and storage structures, such as tanks (whether cylindrical or spherical containing liquids or grains with free surface or under-pressure), the

dynamically on the related location, depending on the analysis method and its mathematical model. In limited cases with semi-buried structures, depending on the applied analysis method and its mathematical model (free, constrained, and semi-constrained parts), the proper loading would be performed.

The long line and network structures, whether underground or on-ground, are sensitive to their imposed relative displacement. The imposed relative displacement is converted into strain and stress in these structures. The effect of inertia is dramatically reduced from on-ground to buried line and network structures, because in buried structures the s

and its mass is quite scant and negligible relative to its surrounding soil.

The power supply components which are reviewed for seismic evaluation and rehabilitation in this guideline are presented in table (1-1).

Component's Title	Type of Component
Gas and thermal power plant	Stationary
Conversion substations	Stationary
Super-distribution aerial and buried transmission lines	Line
Dispatching	Stationary
Distribution aerial and buried substations	stationary
Distribution aerial and buried lines	Line
Office and public buildings and logistics building Stationary	
Subscribers branches	stationary

Table 1-1: Target components of this guideline

1-4-Related regulations

The regulations and codes as well as guidelines related to this collection are as follows:

- Latest revision of Iran 2800 standard, building designing against earthquakes, Ministry of Housing and Urban Development
- Instructions for seismic rehabilitation of buildings, issue #360, President deputy of strategic planning and control

#346, P

- I
 - and control
- Instructions of seismic vulnerability and rehabilitation of current unarmed monumental buildings, Ministry of Housing and Urban Development



•	Ι			,	#512, P

- of strategic planning and control
- Instructions of seismic evaluation of electricity substations installations, iss #513, P deputy of strategic planning and control
- Iranian National Building Code, Ministry of Housing and Urban Development

Using other guidelines and criteria which could be needed in special projects is permissible, provided their general compliance with the contents of this guideline and satisfying the minimum criteria.

1-5-Structure of guideline

The current guideline consists of the following chapters and appendices:

Chapter one: Generalities

Chapter two: Seismic evaluation procedure

Chapter three: Seismic evaluation methods

Chapter four: Seismic rehabilitation procedure

Chapter five: seismic rehabilitation methods

Appendix 1: Classification of power supply network subscribers

Appendix two: Examples of destruction functions

In chapter two of the guideline, the general seismic evaluation procedure of power supply systems is presented. This procedure defines the seismic evaluation studies through two general sections, namely pre-evaluation and evaluation. The pre-evaluation procedure is presented in this chapter while the evaluation procedure is in the next chapter. The seismic pre-evaluation is presented in this chapter for the general seismic vulnerability prediction of components, and using this, the primary screening of vulnerable components could be performed. Also, considering the different evaluation requests based on

In order to perform pre-evaluation, the effective factors in evaluation are introduced in this chapter, and based on this, the evaluation level index is determined and the evaluation level is selected. Next in this chapter, based on the selected levels, the suggested titles for planning the evaluation studies and also the stages for continuing studies following the completion of pre-evaluation are presented in order to

In chapter 3, the vulnerability evaluation methods are suggested as matrices for various components in three categories, fast, qualitative, and detailed, for the different evaluation levels presented in chapter 2, following introduction of target components in a power supply system. For each method and component, listed, while introducing the factors important in

evaluation.

For fast and qualitative evaluations, the important points to consider in preparation and completion of worksheets used in this section are presented through chapter 3, according to the importance of technical control in this two methods.

The details of the detailed methods for different components, such as load combinations and calculation of seismic capacity, and acceptance criteria in addition to items mentioned in chapter 3, are a function of seismic design methods of each component, and it could be referred to the related codes presented in this chapter for each component in order to determine them.



The fourth and fifth chapters discuss the procedures and methods of rehabilitation, respectively. The rehabilitation procedure include introduction of effective factors on prioritizing the rehabilitation design presentation and rehabilitation design preparation steps. The different rehabilitation methods for different components separately and detailed are the pre-requirements for the topic of the fifth chapter of this guideline.



Chapter 2

Seismic evaluation procedure





2-1-Seismic evaluation approaches

The seismic evaluation is defined through two stages in this guideline. The first stage is the preevaluation which by fast examination of vital artery state, the studies level is signified while determining the need or lack of need for evaluation. Then, in the evaluation stage, the activities are defined in primary or detailed evaluations, as follows. The roadmap of seismic performance evaluation is shown in the following figure.



Figure 2-1: Performance evaluation road map

- The primary evaluation includes the empirical and qualitative methods. This evaluation is relatively fast and it requires the detailed evaluation in order to determine the vulnerable or safe components. Generally, the primary evaluation methods of this guideline are based on primary evaluation worksheets with qualitative or quantitative scoring.
- The detailed evaluation includes two empirical and analytic approaches. The empirical methods are based on damage mode and stats and history of damages in the past earthquakes. The analytic methods are based on modeling and numerical calculation analyses. Often, the empirical methods are used for seismic evaluation of networks with large number of components. Generally, these empirical methods are based on empirical and possibility damage diagrams of different components in different modes. More details on damage diagrams are



presented in appendix 1 of this guideline. The analytic method has also two levels. The first level is similar to simplified design methods and is mostly static-equivalent method. The second level is used for components with special conditions or more complex behavior and includes dynamic and non-linear methods.

2-2-Pre-evaluation

The system operator must be always sufficiently aware and certain about the proper seismic safety and performance of his/her installations. Otherwise, the request for performance evaluation of power

needed knowledge level. Before initiating the evaluation, the pre-evaluation stage is preformed for the following objectives which could be carried out by the operator engineer:

• H

determine the required level for detailed evaluation

- Assuring the availability of resources and sufficient, proper expertise for evaluation
- Determining the proper level of studies based on the request, available resources, and schedule.

2-2-1-Types of evaluation requests

The evaluation requests could be in one of the following three approaches:

- Technical approach (usually aimed to promote safety by performing rehabilitation practices)
- Financial approach (usually aimed for budget planning and/or capital loss, return, and risk assessments)
- Management approach (usually with goals such as crisis management planning, planning for immediate and emergency measures, increased-safety planning with software or non-rehabilitation and risk management plans)

performance. Based on this, the director of installations should decide which components to be evaluated. The reliability in this instruction is measured based on the amount of power cuts and the duration of power cuts.

This request may not be submitted for the whole network, and be based on the crisis management priorities. In this case, the measurement of service delivery reliability initiates with the priority of more important subscribers which play more significant roles in controlling and management at the time crisis. Preparing a list and how the important subscribers in a network are selected is carried out based on the guides in appendix 1.

2-2-2-Factors effective on performance evaluation

The main factors in a performance evaluation are:

- Hazard (H)
- The seismic hazard includes primary and secondary hazards. The primary hazards are vibrations and ground intense shakes and deformation caused by them, such as liquefaction, slope slip, and faulting. The secondary hazards include explosion, fire, environmental



pollution, and likes of them which are resulted from occurrence of primary damages of earthquake.

- Vulnerability (V):
- The vulnerability includes the potential of life losses and physical damages in relation to equipments, installations, buildings, operational and control systems, environment, industrial, office, financial and business activities, security of installations, capitals, society, and cultural heritage.
- System performance (S):
- The performance of power supply vital artery during earthquake hazard is evaluated and judged based on outputs, operational objectives, safety defects, and performance disturbance. The major operational objectives of a power supply system are:
- •
- Continuation of electric current and reliability on system
- Preventing damages
- Preventing environmental damages

2-2-3-Identification of seismic hazard

The seismic secondary hazards, such as explosion, fire, environmental pollution, and likes of them, should be examined case-specific and local. Table (2-1) shows the hazard levels classification criterion.

Seismic hazard level	Seismic peak acceleration range			
Low (L)	PGA>0.15 g			
Medium (M)	0.15 PGA 0.5			
High (H)	PGA > 0.5g			

Table 2-1: Criteria used in determining the relative hazard levels (H status)

2-2-4-Seismic vulnerability identification

According the history of past earthquakes, the vulnerability potential of different power supply parts against seismic hazards is different. Table (2-2) indicates the general degree of this topic for High (H), Medium (M), and Low (H) categories. If one components or system is placed inside a building, the vulnerability of the building and component must be considered together. For example, where there is a possibility of building collapse or its emergency evacuation, the equipments inside the building are exposed to danger.



				Vulneral	oility Deg	·ee			
Seismic Hazards	Control, conservation, and communication low- voltage systems (like SCADA)	Transmission substations	Transmission lines	Transmission and communication masts and distribution post	Distribution substation	Distribution lines	Service distribution transformers	Central office, maintenance building, operation building	Computer equipments for operation and business activities
Earthquake Vibrations	М	Н	L	L	М	М	М	М	М
Permanent Ground Deformations due to earthquake (faulting, liquefaction, and landslip)	L	М	Н	Н	М	Н	М	Н	L

Table 2-2: Components' vulnerability degree against seismic damages (V status)

2-2-5-Seismic performance

The seismic performance depends on the following factors:

- Intensity and amount of hazard
- Vulnerability of system of component
- Consequences caused by life or financial damages, service cut, environmental impacts, and other effects.
- Permanent redundancy amount of the evaluated system (High redundancy, redundant, or no-redundancy)
- System size

In pre-evaluation, the performance is defined by the layer index, I_L, as the product of H, V, and S:

$$I_{L} = H \times V \times max(C_{LS}, C_{FL}, C_{SD}, C_{EI})$$

H: degree of hazard (low = 1, medium = 2, high = 3, according to table 2.1)

V: degree of vulnerability (low = 1, medium = 2, high = 3, according to table 2.2)

S: degree of system performance (maximum of CLS, CFL, CSD, and CEI)

CLS: degree of life safety consequences, varies between 1 and 3 (According to table 2.3)

CFL: degree of financial loss consequences, varies between 0.5 and 6 (According to table 2.3)

CSD: degree of service cut consequences, varies between 0.5 and 6 (According to table 2.3)

CEI: degree of environmental impacts consequences, varies between 1 and 3 (According to table 2.3)

In table (2.3) a redundancy correction factor (RC) is used to determine CFL and CSD. Indeed, using this correction factor justifies the decrease in consequences due to system redundancy.



(2.1)

The redundancy correction factor provides the possibility of flexibility in weighting differently some of the performance special conditions, provided the availability of the alternative resources.

For example, for one establishment, the redundancy factor might be determined equal to 2 (noredundancy) due to lack of knowledge about a proper alternative for servicing an important subscriber. While, the subscriber himself might consider this factor equal to 0.5 due to existence of a proper alternative; therefore, the CSD could vary depending on the nature and characteristics of request and who is performing the evaluation. There are similar considerations when applying the redundancy correction factor to CFL. The redundancy correction factor is equal to 1, for normal cases.

Consequence		Intensity of Consequence	
	Low (Normal)	Medium (Non-critical)	High (Critical)
Life safety	Minimum impact on life	The damage or cut could inflict	The damage or cut could bring
CLS	safety; without any	injuries to personnel or people	significant life threats for
	important or significant	around facilities	personnel or people around
	effect on personnel or	CLS = 2	facilities
	people around facilities		CLS = 3
	CLS = 1		
Financial loss	No or low effects	The damage or power cut could	The damage or power cut has
CFL	CFL = RC	inflict high financial losses, but	significant effect on economic
		these losses have no or low	status of facility and/or a
		effects on facility economic	number of main subscribers
		status	CFL = 3RC
		CFL = 2RC	
Service cut	No or low effects on	Power cut:	Power cut would lead to one
CSD	population under its	- affects a small portion of	the following:
	coverage	covered population (less than	1) Affects a considerable
	CSD = RC	10%)	portion of covered population
		- lasts less than one day and has	(more than 10%)
		no specific effect on any	2) Has the potential to affect a
		important subscriber	population more than 100
		CSD = 2RC	thousand people
			3) Includes a broad area and
			lasts more than one day
			4) Affects the performance and
			operation of an important and
			vital facility
			CSD = 3RC
Environmental	No or low effects on the	The damage or power cut might	The damage or power cut
impacts	environment	cause limited environmental	might cause large
CEI	CEI = 1	impacts	environmental damages (i.e.
		CEI = 2	neutralizing its effects might
			take months or years)
		a lla oh	CEI = 3
L	1		

Table 2-3: Degrees of system performance disturbance consequences (S status)

DC	
κυ	

0.5

1 (

);

is equal to 2 (the task performed by that member only and no other alternatives would do the same). The scoring system is approximate and replacing decimal values instead of 1 for low, 2 for medium, and 3 for high is not considered.

The final step in the scoring operations is to compare the layer index, IL, with a set of pre-determined ranges which defines the recommended base level for performance evaluation. Based on all the possible combinations of input parameters, the layer index could vary from 0.5 to 54. The performance evaluation base level could be determined using the ranges presented in table (2-4). The base level is used as a starting point for evaluation and later more complete evaluations could be felt. Sometimes the inquirer might request a specific level of studies based on his/her requirements.

Layer Index	Base Level for Performance Evaluation
$I_L \leq 6$	No need for seismic evaluation
$7 \le I_L < 17$	Generally, the primary evaluation is sufficient (level 1)
$17 \le I_L < 35$	Primary and detailed evaluations using empirical and common calculative methods (level 2)
$I_L \ge 35$	Primary and detailed evaluations using accurate calculative methods (level 3)

2-2-6- Evaluation studies planning

The required information for seismic evaluation and the type of studies differ based on the different . I

schedule as well as numerous hazards must be included in planning of evaluation studies type.

	Hazard/Measure			Н3
1.1	Earthquake hazard surface failure of fault			
1.1.1	R s maps, if available	٠	•	•
1.1.2	Reviewing topographic maps	٠	•	٠
1.1.3 Reviewing aerial maps, if available				
1.1.4 Performing identification and site visits (by an expert geologist)			•	
1.1.5	Highlighting active faults by excavating trenches	•		
1.1.6	Estimating faul	•	•	
1.1.7	D ,	•		
	sampling, age determination, and analysis			

Table 2-5: Hazard evaluation matrix for power supply system



⊕omoorepeyman.

	Hazard/Measure	H1	H2	H3
1.2	Earthquake hazard liquefaction			
1.2.1	Reviewing documentations concerning regional vibrations (seismic-risk)	•	٠	٠
1.2.2	Probability evaluating of earthquake hazard throughout the whole system	•	٠	
1.2.3	Reviewing topographic maps	٠	٠	٠
1.2.4	Reviewing ground surface geological maps	•	٠	٠
1.2.5	Reviewing the current geotechnical data	٠	٠	٠
1.2.6	Performing minimum excavation and soil boring, standard penetration tests and/or cone		•	
	penetration			
1.2.7	Performing extensive excavation and soil boring, standard penetration tests and/or cone	•		
	penetration			
1.2.8	Performing preliminary visits and site detection (desert) (by an expert geologist)	•	٠	
1.2.9	Identifying soil mines with liquefaction potential by judgment	•	٠	٠
1.2.10	Identifying soil mines with liquefaction potential by engineering analysis of sail data	•	•	
1.2.11	Estimating the amount of lateral displacement spreading by empirical methods	•	٠	
1.2.12	Estimating the liquefaction potential using liquefaction capability maps	•	٠	
1.2.13	Applying detailed analysis using analytical tools, estimating liquefaction possibility, and	•		
	lateral displacements spreading.			

	Hazard/Measure	H1	H2	H3
1.3	Earthquake hazard ground intense vibrations			
1.3.1	Reviewing documentations concerning regional vibrations (seismic-risk)	•	•	•
1.3.2	Reviewing regional seismic hazards, if available	•	•	•
1.3.3	Reviewing ground surface geological maps	•	•	•
1.3.4	Determining and developing factors amplifying ground shakes	•	•	
1.3.5	Estimating levels and elevation of ground shakes using judgment and current maps	•	•	•
1.3.6	Estimating levels and elevation of ground shakes using empirical methods	•	•	
1.3.7	Estimating levels and elevation of ground shakes using analytical methods and tools	•		
1.3.8	Applying PSHA to the whole system	•		

0,15,24
(main)
VIII
@omoorepeyman.ir

Guideline for seismic evaluation and rehabilitation of power supply systems

	Hazard/Measure	H1	H2	Н3
1.4	Earthquake hazard landslip			
1.4.1	Reviewing geological maps of earth surface	•	•	•
1.4.2	Reviewing topological maps	•	•	•
1.4.3	Reviewing aerial maps, if available	•	•	
1.4.4	Reviewing regional precipitation maps	•	•	•
1.4.5	Performing site visits and identification (desert) (by an expert geologist)	•	•	
1.4.6	Reviewing current regional ground shakes maps	•	•	•
1.4.7	Evaluating the potential of landslip by expert judgment	•	•	•
1.4.8	Evaluating the potential of landslip by slope stability maps	•	•	
1.4.9	Evaluating the potential of landslip by statistical or empirical analysis	•	•	
1.4.10	Evaluating the potential of landslip by analytical methods	•		

	Hazard/Measure	H1	H2	H3
1.5	Earthquake hazard Tsunami			
1.5.1	Determining the location of facilities in a 20km range from shore	•	٠	•
1.5.2	Reviewing topographic maps of shore areas	•	٠	•
1.5.3	Reviewing bathymetric maps of boundary areas (close to shore)	٠	٠	
1.5.4	Reviewing records by local wave/tide gauges	•	٠	٠
1.5.5	Estimating potential of tsunami water overflow using expert judgment	٠	٠	٠
1.5.6	Estimating potential of tsunami water overflow using judgment and evaluating	•	٠	
	the tsunami possibility sources			
1.5.7	Analyzing regional flooding	٠	٠	٠

@omoorepeyman.ir

	Component/Measure					
1	Damage evaluation of power system facilities					
1.2	Collecting information by interviewing facilities designers, site engineers, and executive	•	٠	•		
	managers. Obtaining performance evaluation (estimates, heuristic estimates), and every					
	performance data (statistical) which should be informed about.					
1.2	Collecting information by examining the site for local conditions evaluation and information	•	٠			
	related to the total vulnerability of components.					
1.3	Collecting information by examining the site for parallel hazards resulted from external	•	•			
	sources, structures, and neighboring facilities.					
1.4	Collecting information by reviewing maps and calculations of critical and important issues of					
	facilities.					
1.5	Collecting information by visiting location and determining the installation details of critical					
	items in facilities.					
1.6	5 Performing structural calculations for examining and determining the sufficiency of					
	installation details of critical and important items in facilities and matching with					
	characteristics based on performance.					
1.7	E , heuristic estimates, empirical data	•	•	•		
	from previous events (statistical) with minimum local collected data.					
1.8	E (1.2) (1.5), more	•	•			
	, , ,					
1.9	E -place data (according to steps (1.2) to	•				
	(1.6)) s results					

Table 2-6: Vulnerability ev	valuation matrix
-----------------------------	------------------

	Component/Measure	V1	V2	V3		
2	2 Damage evaluation of critical and important buildings					
2.1	Collecting information through interviewing executive managers of facilities and maintenance					
	personnel of building					
2.2	Determining critical performances inside buildings and damages which have defected or	•	•	•		
	stopped these performances					
2.3	Paying general visits to sites for evaluation of local conditions and collecting information	•	٠			
	, , ach facility near them and their					
	supports					
2.4	Paying general visits too sites for evaluation of parallel hazards from external sources and	•	٠			
	structures and neighboring equipments					
2.5	Performance evaluation of buildings and support equipments using judgment (estimates,	•	٠	•		
	heuristic estimates) and/or empirical data (statistical) from past events and/or using empirical					
	evaluation of damages with minimum local collected information					
2.6	Reviewing architectural and structural maps, design calculations, foundation evaluation	•	٠			
	, .					
2.7	Performing independent structural calculations for building capacity evaluation.	•	٠			
2.8	Performing independent structural calculations for building response evaluation	٠				



@omoorepeyman.ir

	Measure	S1	S2	S3
1	System performance evaluation			
1.1	Reviewing system maps	•	٠	٠
1.2	Reviewing system performance against natural hazards/previous events	•	•	•
1.3		•	•	
1.4	Matching system model on maps of various hazards (GIS performance)	•	•	
1.5	E	•	•	•
1.6	System analysis for limited scenarios (minimum 3)	•	•	
1.7	Probability analysis and system reliability	•		

Table 2-7: Performance evaluation matrix	Table	2-7:	Performance	evaluation	matrix
--	-------	------	-------------	------------	--------

Table 2-8: Minimum necessary effort for evaluation of hazard, vulnerability, and system's performance in different levels

1 to 15 man-hour				Vul	nerability Evalua	tion
	3 to 10 man-ho 3 to 9 man-ho	our		V1	V2	V3
ance		b ion	H1			
form	S1	lazar 1luat	H2			
ı per		H	Н3			
stem		d ion	H1			
of sy	S2	Hazar evaluati	H2			
erels			Н3			
on le		d	H1			
luati		lazar 1luat	H2			
Eva		Н	Н3			

2-3-Seismic Evaluation Stages

After performing pre-evaluation and determining the level of studies, it would be necessary for seismic emulation to determine the seismic vulnerability, seismic hazard, and seismic performance level of the target. These parameters, which determine the volume of necessary activities for evaluation of each component, are listed in the evaluation stages according to the following order:

- 1- Level of importance and system general value
- 2- Calculating seismic hazard of different elevations
- 3- Determining component/system performance levels
- 4- Selecting the primary seismic evaluation method
- 5- Determining the primary vulnerability
- 6- Selecting the detailed seismic evaluation method
- 7- Determining the detailed vulnerability



The first step in seismic evaluation is to determine the importance and role of the system in a network which is carried out according to table (2-3). A

components are classified based on their relative importance and role in power supplying, according to table (2-9). Table (2-10) indicates how the role combination of internal components and the entire system in seismic evaluation.

Type Definition		Effect of Damage on Performance
Main	Direct role in system performance	Power cut
Auxiliary	Support or redundancy role in system performance	Disturbance in power supplying
Subordinate	Main or support role in system performance	Unknown

Table 2-9: Classification of subsystems and internal components

Table 2-10: Determining the importance with combination of internal components and entire system

Subsystem or internal component Entire system or set	Main	Auxiliary	Subordinate
Up	Very high	High	Medium
Middle	High	Medium	Low
Down	Medium	Low	Low

Also, the obtained importance level could be obtained as following:

- 1- Very high: inflicting damages to these components would lead to critical conditions and cause several casualties and financial losses.
- 2- *High:* inflicting damages to these components results in power- and service delivery-cut as well as financial losses.
- 3- Medium: inflicting damages to such components would cause disturbance in currents.
- 4- Low: inflicting damages to such components has no effect on the system.

2-3-2-Earthquake hazard level

Three earthquake hazard elevations are defined for evaluation:

- Hazard level-1: Maximum Operational earthquake (MOE)
- Hazard level-2: Maximum Design Earthquake (MDE)
- Hazard level-3: Maximum Considered/Credible Earthquake (MCE)

These hazard levels are equivalent to the following safety levels which their precise definition is presented in table (2-12) for different importance levels:

- <u>Operational safety:</u> In this level, the possible imposed damages should not create any disturbance in power supplying.
- <u>Design safety</u>: In this level, the possible imposed damages might create temporary and shortterm disturbance in power supplying but should not lead to major damages, collapses, fire, explosion, network instability, and so forth.



• <u>Safety from crisis:</u> In this level, high operational damages might occur but no system damages should be inflicted; therefore, it is necessary to consider required measure to minimize secondary effects.

Seismic Level	Probability of emergence in 50 years	Safety Level
	(earthquake return period in years)	
Hazard level-1 (MOE)	99.5% (75 years)	Operational safety
Hazard level-2 (MDE)	10% (475 years)	Design safety
Hazard level-3 (MCE)	2% (2475 years)	Safety from crisis

Table 2-11: earthquake seismic hazard levels

2-3-3-Performance levels of system components

The definition of performance levels based on hazard level and importance classification of vital (2-12).

	Seismic elevation				
	(Performance level)				
Importonoo	Earthquake hazard level-	Earthquake hazard level-2	Earthquake hazard level-3		
Importance	1	(design safety)	(safety from crisis)		
level	(operational safety)				
	Without any damage and	No life damages. Equipments	No life damage. Equipments are		
Vou hich	performance disturbance	receive minor damages but they	damages, but the system still		
very mgn		would be still operational.	maintains its performance and		
			critical conditions do not occur.		
	Without any damage and	No life damages. Equipments are	No life damages. The equipments		
	performance disturbance	damaged but they would maintain	are damaged with possible		
High		their performance.	temporary disturbance in system		
			performance but the critical		
			conditions do not occur.		
	No life damages.	No life damages. The equipments	No life damages. The equipments		
	Equipments receive	are damaged with possible	are damaged with major		
Madium	minor damages but they	disturbance in system performance	disturbances in equipment and		
Wieulum	would maintain their		system performance however		
	performance.		repairable and recoverable in an		
			acceptable time		
	No life damages. The	No life damages. The equipments	Not necessary		
	equipments receive	are damaged with major			
	minor damages but the	disturbance in the equipment and			
Low	system maintains its	system performance however			
	performance	repairable and recoverable in an			
		acceptable time			

Table 2-12: Definition of seismic performance levels based on earthquake hazard level and importance classification



@omoorepeyman.ir

Chapter 3

Seismic Evaluation Methods





3-Seismic Evaluation Methods

Ι

their application framework are presented based on seismic evaluation level. The details of these methods for each component comply with guidelines and codes related to their designing.

3-1-Target components

The target components in this guideline are introduced in table 3.1 through general grouping of line and stationary components. In regard to seismic performance evaluation, this grouping is performed as both the single performance of each component and system performance of multi-components comprising a system.

Туре	Title	Performance	Components
			Equipments
	Power plant		Non-building structures
		Single components	Buildings
			Non-structural and
			subordinate components
Stationary		Systems	Different components
Stationary			Equipments
	Substation		Non-building structures
		Single components	Buildings
			Non-structural and
			subordinate components
		Systems	Different components
Line (Network)	Transmission	Systems	Different components
		Single component	Component itself
	Distribution		Equipments
			Non-building structures
		Single components	Buildings
			Non-structural and
			subordinate components
		system	Different components

Table 3-1:	Components	categories
------------	------------	------------

3-2-Seismic evaluation methods of components

The primary and details seismic evaluation methods for stationary structures, including buildings, nonbuilding structures, equipments, and non-structural and structural line and network components for different evaluation levels are as shown in table (3-2). In addition to the suggested cases in this table, also the laboratory methods could be used especially for non-structural equipments and components.



Component's name	Level-1 evaluation methods	Level-2 evaluation methods	Level-2 evaluation methods
Building structures	Fast evaluation	Fast evaluation	Detailed evaluation using
	instructions	instructions	seismic rehabilitation
			instructions
Non-building structures	Evaluation using	Controlling seismic	Software and numerical
	qualitative evaluation	behavior by reviewing	modeling and pseudo-
	worksheets or using	primary design documents	dynamic, dynamic, and
	scoring methods	and using simple and	interactive behavior
		static-equivalent (pseudo-	analysis
		static) methods of code	
Outdoor equipments	Evaluation using	Controlling general	Software and numerical
	qualitative evaluation	seismic stability by	modeling and pseudo-
	worksheets or using	reviewing design	dynamic, dynamic, and
	scoring methods	documents and using:	interactive behavior
		- C -	analysis
		equivalent methods	
		- Empirical methods based	
		on damage curves	
		- Vulnerability spectrum	
		method	
		- using empirical screening	
Non-structural	Evaluation using	Qualitative evaluation	Controlling general
components and indoor	qualitative evaluation	worksheets	stability using static-
equipments	worksheets		equivalent methods or
			empirical methods
Aerial and underground	Evaluation with	Controlling seismic	Dynamic behavior analysis
transmission and	qualitative evaluation	general stability under	under geotechnical hazards
distribution lines	worksheets or using	geotechnical hazards	(slippage, faulting,
	scoring methods	(slippage, faulting,	liquefaction, etc.) and
		liquefaction, etc.) and	interactive effect of
		interactive effect of	neighboring structures and
		neighboring structures by	analytic and numerical
		reviewing design	modeling
		documents and using	
		simple and empirical	
		methods	

Table 3-2. seismic	evaluation	methods of	components in	different e	valuation	evels
Table 3-2. seisine	evaluation	methous of	components m	uniterent	valuation	ICVC15

Note: The general method for extracting damage functions and curves is presented in Appendix 2.

3-2-1-Buildings seismic evaluation

In addition to the issues addressed in determining the evaluation parameters, the key factors in

- •
- B

structural damage factors which would lead to releasing of dangerous materials and casualties outside the building.



Ö

•

result of earthquake.

- Historical significance of the structure and effects of seismic rehabilitation on cultural and heritage sources.
- Site-specific seismic hazard.
- Relative costs of rehabilitation compared to its revenue.

The primary seismic evaluation in levels 1 and 2 of concrete and steel and monumental buildings is P D P C #364,

Visual Fast Evaluation Method for Steel and Reinforced concrete Buildings.

The primary seismic evaluation in levels 1 and 2 of monumental buildings is performed based on the P D P C instructions #364 for building with monumental materials.

The level-3 detailed evaluation of concrete and steel buildings is performed using the service

descriptions presented in issue #251, titled Descriptions of Evaluation and Rehabilitation Services ofB#360PDPC, BRehabilitation Instructions.

The detailed evaluation of current monumental buildings is performed using Seismic Vulnerability and Rehabilitation Instructions for Current Monumental Unarmed Buildings (Buildings Deputy of Ministry of Housing and Urban Development).

3-2-2-Non-building structures seismic evaluation

The primary seismic evaluation of non-building structures in levels 1 and 2, which is performed as component, could be carried out using the following methods:

- R dering the status like
 - construction and current conditions, if the documents are available
- Inspection by preparing and using seismic worksheets considering the type of each structure and evaluation using qualitative scoring method
- Using models and simple and static-equivalent methods and seismic general stability control

Usually, in the primary seismic evaluation of non-building structures, the system inspection would not be performed. If the components are vulnerable in this stage, then the detailed evaluation is performed using both component and system approaches.

The level 3 detailed evaluation of non-building structures using numerical modeling and analysis. This inspection includes studying the dynamic and interactive behavior of the structure. Using the detailed method for complex structures or with unknown dynamic behavior or with considerable interaction with environment or other structures is mandatory.

3-2-3-Equipments seismic evaluation

The primary seismic evaluation in levels 1 and 2 is performed using the following approaches:

• Reviewing the seismic control documents considering the equipment state which include

internal parts by manufacturers.



I

ts

- Using quantitative scoring methods by issues #521 and #213 (Instructions for Seismic Evaluation of power plants and power substations facilities)
- Seismic empirical evaluation method using screening according to issues #512 and #513
- Seismic empirical evaluation method using seismic vulnerability spectrum according to issues #512 and #513
- Using simple and static-equivalent methods of code and seismic general stability control

are vulnerable in this stage, then the detailed evaluation would be performed using both components and system approaches.

The level-3 detailed evaluation of equipments is carried out using numerical modeling and analysis. This inspection includes study

method for complex structures or with unknown dynamic behavior or with considerable interaction with environment or other structures is mandatory.

3-2-4-Non-structural components seismic evaluation

The seismic evaluation of architectural components and indoor equipments like walls, shelves, false floors, and indoor facilities like piping, canals, wires, and cables are single-stage and is performed based on the following regulations and guidelines:

- Appendices power vital arteries design guides
- Building seismic rehabilitation instructions, issue #360
- Appendices of issues #512 and # 513 (Instructions for Seismic Evaluation of power plants and power substations facilities)
- Other valid and introduced references in this guideline
- Performing experiments and inspecting seismic performance of sample components

3-2-5-Network and lines seismic evaluation

The seismic evaluation of lines and network is carried out in two stages, component-stage for , -stage for determining the

vulnerability of the entire line or network range.

The primary evaluation of lines and network components in leve-1 performed through following methods:

- Revie
- Preparing and using seismic worksheets according to the type of network components and using qualitative scoring method
- Using simple and static-equivalent method of code and seismic general stability control of line and network components
- Using current vulnerability curves of components

Lines and network system primary evaluation in level-1 could be performed using vulnerability combination formulation based on the reliability method.

The level-3 component detailed evaluation of lines and network could be carried out by the analytical method using calculation and numerical model.



The level-3 component detailed evaluation of lines and network could be performed using vulnerability combination formulation based on the reliability method.

The combination formulation could be performed based on the reliability method using guides from appendices of issues #512 and #513.

3-3-Inspection in Qualitative Evaluation

itative evaluation forms is one of the main stages of seismic evaluation in levels 1 and 2. The result of this activity, which leads to preparation of vulnerable components primary list and their vulnerability qualitative level, has a large effect on type and volume of studies. The local inspection and concluding their results should be performed by a qualified and legitimate engineer or a group of engineers.

Usually, the general steps of this activity are as follows:

• Holding sessions with employers, technicians, standard supervisors, safety engineers, and other

necessary possibilities

- Identification and preparing the list of considered equipments, structures, and other components
- Categorization of vulnerability modes of considered components
- Preparing and completion of inspection worksheets
- Settling required coordination with process and operation safety team
- Collecting local data such as seismic hazard, faults location, current holes in soil and other geotechnical related issues.
- Local inspection of components and filling up the worksheets and documentation of obtained observations and information
- Reviewing maps, in necessary, in order to control the sufficiency of reinforced concrete structures, determining bracing details and/or determining items which are undetectable by visual inspection due to limitations such as fireproof coverings, isolations, and so forth.
- Listing weak and suspicious components for employers and/or standard supervisors including sufficient explanations
- Identification of consequences caused by components damage

During a destructive earthquake, there is the possibility of damaging outdoor facilities and their longterm destruction. In such cases, preparing items, such as support power generator equipments and water reserves, sounds reasonable in seismic evaluation and rehabilitation. The local inspection team should highlight the existence of other emergency systems effective on system performance in order to minimize earthquake effects. Especially, the necessity of fire alert and extinguish system, communication and preventive systems for non-stop performance after earthquake, should be emphasized.

The general technical considerations in an inspection are:

• G

designed considering non-seismic lateral loads, such as wind, and are also resistant against earthquake but nonetheless the destructive displacements might also occur in seismic low levels.



- Secondary hazards intensity (faulting, soil displacement, and landslip): the inspection team should pay special attention to faults near site. Locations with the possibility of displacement and damage to buried lines and equipments relying on structural systems should be considered. When faults pass through site, the evaluation of the inspection team must be completed by performing additional geotechnical studies or other studies.
- Codes applied during construction: the applied codes and design methods might undergo major changes relative to the time of designing.
- For the evaluation of older facilities, more attention must be paid to current damages caused by structural age such as steel dent, damaged concrete, corrosion, etc.
- If the general quality of maintenance is not suitable, the local inspection team must consider and inspect details, such as number of lost bolts, unrepaired damages, changes and field , . ., ell as in connections.
- The process safety engineers and employers must be informed and assured of the safety primary inspection, pollution, or economic consequences and environmental impacts, through local inspectors.
- The local inspection team must always be aware of places susceptible to corrosion. These areas are especially associated to places with corrosive materials like acids and also amassed water places. Other cases in which the corrosion might become a problem are where the concrete covering is detached and rebar is exposed to environmental conditions.
- During inspection, the engineers could inspect installed facilities which have problems. These problems might be observed in welds, or installation of expansion anchor-bolts. For example, if the length of expansion anchors is not sufficient, they might not be as resistant as their design tensile capacity allows them.
- A piece from system, structure, storage cabinets, furniture, and storage equipments might move during earthquake. Due to this movement and consequently hitting a system or component, damages might be inflicted to that component or system which is called seismic interaction. Local inspections about possible interactions are one of the best items of component performance verification. Often, when there is not enough distance between two components, these interactions occur. Also, it might be due to slippage of non-braced facilities, movement of hanging pipes and/or cable trays, deflection of electric board and collision with adjacent boards, walls or structural member. Another example includes the hazard related to passageways with sharp supports. Another case of interaction could be the structural failure

tools.

• For inspectors, the local asymmetric displacement is more important in case of facilities . E

r

displacement states. These states include items such as connection pipes, ducts, canals, tubes, etc. In such cases, facilities must have enough flexibility against movement. Flexibility is a key characteristic to resist against vulnerability. It would be of special significance when using different foundations for equipments, when they are non-braced.

• One of the notable issues is the automatic fire alert and extinguishing system. The performance of water-sensitive electric equipments might be disturbed when placed under the sprinkler heads.



• Inspecting the current buildings

risk of their collision with network components, in case of destruction, must be evaluated. Therefore, first those building close enough to distribution network components must be highlighted which might collide network components in case of complete or partial destruction. After signifying the risky building adjacent to networks, the mentioned buildings must be evaluated against earthquake during the next step. The evaluation of building adjacent to distribution network for each case must be carried out according to the respective instructions (#360 # 364 P D P C, buildings with reinforced concrete or steel structures, and instruction #376 for building with monumental materials) and using quantitative methods, as far as possible. The desired

these instructions, should be considered equal to collapsing threshold and for components with high importance, equal to life safety. If it is impossible to perform quantitative evaluation for the expected building, it is mandatory to carry out complementary qualitative evaluations based on the mentioned instructions.

3-4-Collecting Required Information in Detailed Evaluation

Collecting required information of quantitative evaluation should be performed through a planned process. The current references for determining and collecting required information include:

- 1) Documents available during different designing, operation, and periodic maintenance stages: the available documents must be visually compared with the current condition of the network and updated, if necessary.
- 2) Vesting and collecting information using visual methods and required measurements: in doing so, boring and destruction of coverings and upper layers should be performed (without

required characteristics and parameters should be determined.

3) Performing required tests: if necessary and lack of required information based on current documents or catalogues, the information must be prepared and collected using experimental methods. The main application of test methods is for determining required properties of soil,

is necessary to perform tests on connecting tools such as bolts, it would be better to be replaced with their identical item. Anyway, during boring or testing, inflicting damages or weaknesses to each current component of the network should be avoided.

3-4-1-Collecting documents of design and operation

At the beginning of seismic evaluation studies, the structural documents of facilities, such as buildings, non-building structures, and equipments, should be collected and reviewed as far as possible. Also, the executive maps should be matched with what has been implemented and be updated if not consistent. Also, it is necessary to collect information on changes, possible repairs, and influential events



. I

Materials and soil test information as well as hazard analysis studies should be collected and reviewed as far as possible.

3-4-2-Visual inspection and extracting visible and effective problems

In this stage of data collection, study and reviews are performed to record the visible and effective ior. Comparing executive maps, like construction and installation, with the available facilities is mandatory in this stage.

3-4-3-Performing materials and soil tests and hazard analysis studies

Based on the assessment of the consulting engineering, if there was not sufficient documents and information for primary or detailed evaluations from the previous reviews, this stage of data collection

C levels are presented in table (3.3). The definition of standard and comprehensive tests for buildings is in accordance with the Buildings Seismic Rehabilitation Instruction (issue #360). In this guideline, no specific definition is presented for non-buildi

R I	Materials and Soil Information	Required Test Level for Materials and Soil
Vory high	Available	Standard
very high	Not Available	Comprehensive
High	Available	-
Ingn	Not Available	Standard
Medium	Available	-
Wedfulli	Not Available	Standard
Low	Available	-
	Not Available	-

Table 3-3: Required tests of materials and soil

3-5-Seismic Evaluation Using Structure's Modeling and Numerical Analysis

The modeling and numerical analysis methods of structures are based on determining and comparing seismic demand-capacity of equipments, structures, and their joints. Modeling and numerical analysis methods of structures include the following two major aspects.

– P

– P

For equipments, the applied damping and mass in modeling and numerical analysis of equipment

results from analytic methods. In case information is missing, the 2% damping is suggested. Table (3.4) provides amount of mass and damping for some equipments.



Type of equipment	Maximum mass or typical density	Damping
Control boards and panels	600 kg/m3	5
Transformers	7 tons	5
Horizontal pumps	7 tons	5
Vertical pumps	2 tons	3
Air compressors	4 tons	5
Motor generator and motor	-	5
combustion generator		
Batteries and their support shelves	-	5
Battery chargers and invertors	700 kg/m3	5
Equipments shelves	300 kg/m2 (from vertical section)	3
General equipment cabinets	3	5

Table 3-4: Mechanical and dynamic properties of equipments

The numerical analysis methods of structures suggested by this guideline are as follows:

- Equivalent static method
- Spectrum method
- Time-history method

3-5-1-Equivalent static method

The equivalent static method for building structures and non-building structures is similar to the presented methods for their design stage. In seismic analysis of equipments in which the vibration first mode is accepted as the dominant mode, the equivalent static method is suggested similar to the section of non-building structures regulations of Standard 2800.

For equipments with natural period smaller than 0.03s, applying the force, obtained from multiplying ass, to their center of mass, without any resonance factor, is acceptable.

3-5-2-Spectrum method

For more complex equipments with numerous vibration modes which are sufficiently far from each other, using the spectrum analysis in accordance with the regulations of non-building structures of standard 2800 is suggested.

3-5-3-Time-history method

For seismic evaluation of complex equipments with close vibration modes, using the time-history analysis in accordance with the regulations of non-building structures of standard 2800 is suggested.

3-6-Considering the Systems Seismic Interaction Effect

The systems seismic interaction is a set of effects on seismic behavior and intensifying consequences of earthquake. Undesired changes in dynamic properties from adjacent systems structural interaction, collision, falling, and relative displacement of adjacent systems and changes in environmental and



cases which cause seismic interaction.

The regular reasons of interaction in power plants are categorized as follows:

- 1- Adjacency: any effect which leads to malfunctioning caused by systems adjacency including: collision, relative deformation, and structural interaction
- 2- Failure and fall down: any effect which leads to malfunctioning caused by damage, failure, and fall down
- 3- Sprinkler: effects of pipes failures or performance of fire extinguishing sprinklers which might lead to short circuit or inaccessibility to power plant components.
- 4- Inundation: effects caused by systems flooding and their inaccessibility
- 5- Fire: effects caused by fire like smoke spreading and systems destruction

Each of power plant systems which are in the verge of negative effects of above mentioned

```
С
```

performed using one of the following four approaches:

(

1-Neglecting the interaction effects (inconsiderable interaction)

. I

2- M

4-

(considerable interaction)

3- E

)

)

re

equipments (approach 3).

(

3-7-Acceptance Criteria

If the effects from imposed loads to electric devices consistent with the following loading combination are 1

vulnerable. It is noteworthy that, in case of electric devices, the potential of short circuit, which is a source for one of the considerable imposed loads, might be intensified during earthquake occurrence:

Operational load impact + short circuit load impact + dead weight load impact + earthquake impact

In regards to the type of available buildings in a distribution network, the acceptance criteria presented in the applied instructions should be used.

In regards to other components (non-building structures and equipments) in a distribution network, they would be whether accepted or not based on comparing seismic effects (resulted from their seismic analysis under loads combinations) with seismic capacity of each of them. In regards to aerial line posts, their displacement must also be examined, in addition to the seismic capacity, in order to prevent overturn.


3-7-1-Imposed loads combinations

Generally, the required loads combinations for seismic vulnerability evaluation of non-building components are as follows:

Dead loads + operational loads + horizontal seismic load (in two independent directions) + vertical seismic load (in two independent directions)

In the above combination, at hazard level, the loads caused by earthquake (horizontal and vertical) should be multiplied by a load factor of $\frac{1}{4}$.

3-7-2-Structural components' strength and capacity

The capacity and strength of different components based on type of materials is obtained as follows using the respective standards:

- The seismic capacity of parts made of ceramic and porcelain, such as insulators, is considered according to the respective standards and catalogues and/or equal to 85% of ultimate strength of their materials. The above mentioned capacity should be considered in every hazard level.
- The seismic capacity of steel components for hazard level-2 or design should be considered equal to 1/7 of allowable stresses (and/or ultimate strengths) and for hazard level-1 or operation should be equal to allowable stresses according to section 10 of National Building Code (NBC).
- The seismic capacity of reinforced concrete components for hazard level-2 should be considered equal to the nominal strength of components (factor) and for operational hazard level should be equal to the strength equivalent to the cracking limit according to section 9 of NBC.
- The seismic capacity of aerial lines wooden posts for design and operational hazard level are assessed based on their standard category, based on ultimate strengths (for design level) and cracking limit (for operational hazard level) related to each class.
- The seismic capacity of aerial lines wires for design hazard level should be considered equal to yield tensile strength and for operational hazard level should be equal to allowable tensile stress according to section 10 of NBC.
- The seismic capacity of ground line cables for design hazard level should be considered equal to the longitud

should be equal to longitudinal hazard level equivalent to the allowable tensile stress.

3-7-3-Controls related to displacement and overturn

In regards to non-braced parts and equipments as well as aerial lines, in addition to examining the seismic demands and capacity in terms of strength, it would be necessary to control overturn, slippage, and displacement, which are performed as follows:

• Non-braced equipments and pieced must be controlled against imposed seismic forces, in regards to overturn and slippage. The minimum values of required reliability for overturn and slippage in both hazard levels are 1.75 and 1.5, respectively.



3-7-4-Equipments bracing strength and capacity

In braced equipments and parts in concrete or other materials, the capacity of bracings should be determined based on the third chapter of issue #512.

3-7-5-Acceptance criteria in nonlinear dynamic methods

Totally, in nonlinear dynamic methods, evaluation and acceptance of different components are performed using criteria composed of force and displacement combination. In power distribution networks, considering the expected performance of equipments and network components, the stresses and internal forces created in non-ductile components (controlled by force) should be controlled similar to linear methods (presented in previous paragraphs), in case of performing nonlinear analyses. In ductile components which enter the nonlinear range, created displacements and rotations should be to the extent





⊕omoorepeyman.ir

Chapter 4

Seismic Rehabilitation Procedure





4-1-Rehabilitation Prioritization

The rehabilitation prioritization is carried out based on the following indices:

- Layer index, I_L
- Changing expected performance level
- Rehabilitation cost
- Feasibility of rehabilitation method

The general method to determine the rehabilitation priority is based on risk analysis. In order to perform this analysis it is necessary to determine the consequences of not rehabilitating based on vulnerability

on this basis. The consequences of not rehabilitating are verified in five categories, namely casualties, possibility of social and political crises according to time of power-cut, direct financial damages to facilities, economic damage caused by vital artery power-cut, environmental damages. In fact, these criteria determine the general safety of structure or equipment.

The highest rehabilitation priority is designated to the first two categories. In other cases, comparing the rehabilitation cost and predicted damage costs, the risk of not rehabilitating is determined and is decided on this basis. In risk analysis, different damage modes and also rehabilitation levels could be compared with each other.

4-2-Seismic Rehabilitation Procedure

The seismic rehabilitation of structures and equipments is a error and trial method and is performed following ensuring the vulnerability of the structure and based on the following steps:

- 1- Choosing the rehabilitation methods based on damage mode of equipments, structures, and their required performance
- 2- Applying changes caused by each rehabilitation method in structural model and reexamining vulnerability to obtain the expected suitable performance
- 3- Comparing acceptable rehabilitation methods based on cost, time, and executive feasibility as
- 4- Seismic rehabilitation prioritization of system components based on paragraph 4.1





Chapter 5

Seismic Rehabilitation Methods





5-1-Rehabilitation Method Selection Approach

The structures and equipments seismic consequences reduction methods could be divided into two general groups:

- Hardware methods as structural rehabilitation and modification and finally renovation
- Software methods as changing operation schedule, changing expected performance level, and increasing safety and reducing secondary incidents occurrence possibility

The seismic rehabilitation method depends on the dominant damage mode of structures and equipments. Thus, selecting a proper rehabilitation method has direct relation with the vulnerability evaluation results validity. In these studies, the damage mode and damage amount should be signified. Indeed, depending on the hazard level, the damage mode could differ, which this should be considered during selecting the rehabilitation method so that it would be possible to control all possible damage modes by performing proportionate rehabilitation exercises.

In reviewing damage modes and presenting rehabilitation methods, all primary and secondary damage modes must be taken into account. The secondary damage modes include permanent ground deformations, fire, fire, explosion, structures collision, destruction rubble fall-down of other components on them, and other cases.

5-2-Rehabilitation Method Type

In this section, the general seismic rehabilitation methods of structures and electric equipments in four sections of power plant, super-distribution substations, super-distribution network, and urban distribution network are suggested based on the observed damage modes in past earthquakes.

5-2-1-Power plants

The components examined in power plants include non-building structures, equipments, and buildings which are discussed separately.

5-2-1-1-Non-building structures

The non-building structures of power plants have various and numerous damage modes. These structures could be divided into three general groups:

- 1- Special and shell structures like cooling towers, flues, vents, and tanks
- 2- Linear and connection structures like underground canals and underground ducts, busbar, racks, on-ground and under-ground pipes
- 3- Indoor and outdoor subordinate structures like cut-off walls, retaining walls, load bearing false floors, and lights and communication supports

Table (5-1) lists types of these structures while introducing their observed and possible seismic damage modes, the common reasons for occurrence of these damage modes, and damages general methods of their rehabilitation methods based on respective damage mode and reason.



Seismic damage modes of non-building structures of power plants are not limited to items provided in table (5-1) and the consultant must examine the occurrence possibility of other seismic damage mode based on local conditions and vulnerability studies results, for each case.



Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
Wet cooling	Support structure	Deformations and ground	
tower	damage and overturn	high settlements	foundation strength
		Insufficient lateral rigidity	Adding internal or external lateral bracing or
		of support structure	reinforced structu
		Insufficient strength of	Adding column late and injection or
		anchor rods connecting	mechanical anchor rod
		structure to foundation	
Dry cooling	No history		
tower			
Flue	Support structure	Deformations and ground	
	damage and overturn	high settlements	foundation strength
		Insufficient lateral rigidity	Adding internal or external lateral bracing or
		of support structure	
		Insufficient strength of	Adding column late and injection or
		anchor rods connecting	mechanical anchor rod
		structure to foundation	
Vent	Bending failure	Insufficient buckling axial	Confine with FRP
		strength and shear	Confine with metal jacket
		strength	
Fuel	Failure of pipe or	High relative deformation	Bracing tank in order to decrease
cylindrical	connection valve or	between tank and pipe	displacement and lengthening or using
tank		engthening	
	from valve or inlet and	or due to imposed	expansion joints
	outlet pipes	movements of pipe and its	Replacing wall sheet, external post-
	Outwards elephant-	valve	tensioning, adding ring hardener to wall
		Insufficient axial capacity	sheet, anchoring to foundation
	shell in lower part	of wall sheet and tank not	Reinforcing pontoon, replacing pontoon,
	Floating ceiling	anchored to foundation	adding pontoon, reinforcing ceiling with
		F	hardener, replacing ceiling sheet
	its fall down with	turbulence inside tank and	Embedding cushion at ceiling and wall
	possible pontoon	insufficient capacity of	connection, replacing connection rubber
	damage	pontoons	
	Creating spark and	-	
	fire in connection of		
	ceiling sheet and wall		
Underground	Wall failure and soil	Insufficient strength	R
canal	filling in		outside or with internal bracing
	C		Adding opening or longitudinal
	and opening of	lateral pressure	reinforcement to remove opening
	contraction gaps	Ground high deformation	At least embedding 10 cm support area in
	Ceiling caps falling	and improper openings	each side or embedding brake
	into canal	design	R handle sharpness by
	Injury of cables on	Insufficient support area	replacing or modifying the handle
	handles	for caps on support	
		Sharp edges of cable seat	
		handle	
			2.1

Table 5-1: Seismic rehabilitation guide of power plants' non-building structures

Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
On-ground	Detachment of pipes	High relative deformation	Equipment and pipe bracing, embedding
piping	from equipments or	between pipe and	
	leakage from pipe at	equipment due to	changes or using expansion connection
	its connection to	excessive displacement	Replacing pipe, reinforcing wall with FRP,
	equipments or local	and oscillation of	changing force distribution in pipe with
	damage in this	equipment or inflexibility	replacing and modifying supports
	location	of pipe	Eliminating material fall down threat,
	Crumpling and	Insufficient strength of	protecting wall using false covering, burying
	buckling of pipe wall	wall or brittle pipe	Using weld connections in steel pipes,
	or pipe wall failure in	materials or improper	
	S	connection type and	topology changes and providing additional
	support	support arrangement	bends while complying with product
	Damage due to rubble	Equipment, materials, and	transmission inside pipes or using expansion
	fall down on pipe	rubble fall down on pipes	connections, changing force distribution in
	Connections cracking	Brittleness and	pipe with replacing and modification of
	and failure and	insufficient strength of	supports, reinforcing walls using FRP
	leakage from them	connections and pipe	
		junctions or excessive	
		movement of pipe	
		supports	
Underground	Detachment of pipes	High relative deformation	Embedding freedom and sufficient flexibility
piping	from structures or	between pipe and	
		structure due to	sleeve or using expansion connection
	connection to building		Replacing pipe, reinforcing shell with FRP,
	or local damages in	displacement or	changing force distribution in pipe or make
	this location		soil surrounding the pipe flexible
	Crumpling and	connection to structure	Using weld connection in steel pipes,
	1 11 1. 6 11 1	Insufficient strength of	
	shell or its failure in	shell and brittleness of	topology changes and creating additional
	lanath	improper connection type	tenas while complying with product
	Creating and failure	improper connection type	transmission considerations inside pipes or
	of connection and		using expansion joints, remotening
	lookage from them	Brittlonoss and	sloove make soil surrounding the pipe
	leakage nom tiem	insufficient strength of	connection flexible
		connections and bends	connection nexible
		ns	
False floor	Failure of support legs	Lacking of insufficient	Ę
	or their bracing to	lateral strength, weakness	bracing to floor, placing heavy equipments
	floor		on separate reinforced seats
		placing heavy equipments	I
		on floor and lacking of	
		separate reinforced seat	
Walls	Superficial or deep	Insufficient shear	External reinforcement of wall for bearing
	diagonal shear	capacity, not being	lateral load, embedding internal or external
	cracking in body and	reinforced, uncontrolled	cradling with metal straps or FRP or FRP
	around openers	connection to frame,	sheets or shotcrete with reinforcement 3D
	1	CAR - CAR	

Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
	Vertical crack at	lacking of sufficient and	mesh or panel or reinforcing injection and
	connection to column	proper cradling	mechanical bracing rods, modifying
	Overturn	Uncontrolled connection	connection to frame
		to frame, lacking of	Modifying connection to frame, embedding
		sufficient and proper	internal or external cradling
		cradling	Reinforcing connection to frame, embedding
		Improper and	internal or external cradling
		unreinforced connection	
		to frame, lacking of	
		sufficient and proper	
		cradling	

5-2-1-2Equipments

Equipments of power plants could be reviewed in three following groups:

- 1- Main power generation equipments and related support equipments, such as boiler, generator, transducer, pump, valve, compressor
- 2- Equipments of conversion and switch substations, such as transformers and other substation equipments which are discussed in substations section.
- 3- Controlling and support indoor equipments, such as control panels, battery shelves, busbar, condenser, fan, air conditioner and chiller, lighting system and fire extinguisher, computer equipments and monitor, shelves, communication equipments

Table (5-2) shows the list of these equipments presenting observed and possible seismic damage modes, common occurrence reasons of these damage modes and their rehabilitation general method based in damage mode and reason. Seismic damage modes of power plant equipments are not limited to items provided in table (5-2) and the consultant must examine the occurrence possibility of other seismic damage mode based on local conditions and vulnerability studies results, for each case.



Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
Transformer	Overturn and	Uncontrolled slippage due to	Replacing wheel with anchored
	slippage	lack of proper lateral bracing or	seat
	Failure or oil leakage	being placed on wheels or rail	Attaching with anchor rod to
	from insulator	Uncontrolled vibrations of	foundation
	Radiator detachment	insulator	Lateral metallic support attached
	Oil tank detachment	Lack of lateral bracing system	to foundation
		Lack of lateral bracing system	Replacing ceramic insulator
			with composite
			R
			against leakage
			Embedding proper lateral
			bracing in support
			Embedding proper lateral
			brac
			Embedding proper lateral
			bracing in support
			Embedding proper lateral
Boiler	Slippage caused by	Weakness in connection or	B
2010	failure in support	welding and bolt	connection to supports steel leg
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Insufficient amount of anchor	Increasing the number of
	Damages of anchor	rod or foundation concrete and	bracing rods and controlling
	rods inside	bracing rod weakness	slippage and overturn modes
	foundation	-	
Heat exchanger	D	Uncontrolled displacement and	Increasing the number of
		vibration	bracing rods and controlling
	body	Weakness in connection or	displacement using flexible
	Slippage in result of	weld and bolt	connection
			R
	connection		
Pump and valve	Damaged pipe	Uncontrolled displacement and	Attaching using anchor rod to
	connection	vibration	Toundation
			Embedding seismic senarator in
			leg
Compressor	Damaged pipe	Uncontrolled displacement and	Attaching using anchor rod to
-	connection	vibration	foundation
			Embedding seismic separator in
			leg
Battery shelf	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Embedding a lateral bracing for
			shelves containing batteries
Control or	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with bracing rod to
distribution panel		2555	floor
		0555	Lateral connection to wall
			Attaching to ceiling
	1	the all Filmer	Attaching panels to each other

Table 5-2: Seismic rehabilitation guide for power plant equipments

Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
Busbar	Detachment and	Uncontrolled relative	Replacing tube aluminum
	failure of connections	displacement	busbar with wire while
			embedding sufficient play and
			freedom
			Using mechanical parts which
			could get opened and closed in
Condenser, fan, air	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with anchor rod to
conditioner, and			floor
chiller			Lateral connection to wall
			Embedding seismic separator in
			leg
Lighting system	Wire and power cut	Uncontrolled relative	Embedding sufficient play and
		displacement	freedom for wire or cable
Fire extinguish system	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with anchor rod to
			floor
			Lateral connection to wall
Computer equipments	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with bolt or glue to a
and monitor			braced table
			Attaching to each other
			Attaching with bolt to wall
Shelves	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with anchor rod to
			floor
			Lateral connection to wall
			Attaching to ceiling
			Attaching shelves to each other
Communication	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with bolt or glue to a
equipments			braced table
			Attaching to each other
			Attaching with bolt to wall

5-2-1-3-Buildings

The seismic rehabilitation of buildings is carried out based on seismic rehabilitation instruction (issue #360, P D of Strategic Planning and Control).

5-2-2-Substations

The examined components in substations include non-building structures, equipments, and buildings which are discussed separately.

5-2-2-1-Non-building structures

The non-building structures of substations have different and numerous damage modes. These structures could be divided into three general sections:

0



(1) Truss or frame special structures like gantries

(2) Line and communication structures, such as underground canals and ducts, busbars, and equ

(3) Indoor and outdoor subordinate structures, such as cut-off walls, retaining walls, load bearing false floors, and light posts

Table (5-3) shows the list of these equipments presenting observed and possible seismic damage modes, common occurrence reasons of these damage modes and their rehabilitation general method based in damage mode and reason. Seismic damage modes of power plant equipments are not limited to items provided in table (5-3) and the consultant must examine the occurrence possibility of other seismic damage mode based on local conditions and vulnerability studies results, for each case.

Component	Possible damage	Damage reason Rehabilitation method
	mode	
Underground	\rightarrow Wall failure and	\rightarrow Insufficient strength \rightarrow R
canal	soil filling in	from outside or with internal
	\rightarrow C	concrete for bearing bracing
	cracking and	teral pressure \rightarrow Adding opening or longitudinal
	opening of	\rightarrow Ground high reinforcement to remove opening
	contraction gaps	deformation and \rightarrow At least embedding 10 cm support
	\rightarrow Ceiling caps	improper openings area in each side or embedding
	falling into canal	design brake
	\rightarrow Injury of cables on	\rightarrow Insufficient support area \rightarrow R handle
	handles	for caps on support sharpness by replacing or
		\rightarrow Sharp edges of cable modifying the handle
		seat handle
Cables	\rightarrow Cables	\rightarrow High relative \rightarrow Embedding freedom and flexibilit
	detachment from	deformation between at cable connection point to
	structure or cut at	cable and structure due structure using non-sharp proper
	connection to the	to excessive pipe sleeve, predicting a little
	building or canal	displacement or excessive length in cable
	or other	constrained cable \rightarrow Replacing, modifying, or removin
	equipments	connection to structure joint, changing force distribution i
	\rightarrow Failure of joint	\rightarrow Brittleness and cables by making the surrounding
	and cable	insufficient strength of soil flexible, using pipe sleeve
	connections	joints
False floor	\rightarrow Failure of support	\rightarrow Lack of sufficient \rightarrow Embedding bracing in legs,
	legs or their	strength, weakness in re
	bracing to the	floor, placing heavy equipments
	floor	floor, placing heavy on separate reinforced seat
<u> </u>		010 00000

Table 5-3: Seismic rehabilitation guide for substations' non-building structures

Possible damage	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
mode		
	equipments on floor	
	and lack of separate	
	reinforced seat	
\rightarrow Superficial or	\rightarrow Insufficient shear	\rightarrow External reinforcement of wall for
deep diagonal	capacity, being	bearing lateral load, embedding
shear cracking in	unreinforced,	internal or external cradling with
body and around	uncontrolled	metal straps or FRP or FRP sheets
openers	connection to frame,	or shotcrete with reinforcement 3D
\rightarrow Vertical crack at	lack of sufficient and	mesh or panel or reinforcing
connection point	proper cradling	injection and mechanical bracing
to column	\rightarrow Uncontrolled	rods, modifying connection to
→ Overturn	connection to frame,	frame
	lack of sufficient and	\rightarrow Modifying connection to frame,
	proper cradling	embedding internal or external
	\rightarrow Improper and	cradling
	unreinforced	\rightarrow Reinforcing connection to frame,
	connection to frame,	embedding internal or external
	lack of sufficient and	cradling
	proper cradling	
	Possible damage mode mode mode Superficial or deep diagonal shear cracking in body and around openers Vertical crack at connection point to column → Overturn	Possible damage Damage reason mode - Possible damage - mode - Possible damage - mode - Possible damage - Possible damage

5-2-2-Equipments

Substations equipments could be discussed in two following groups:

- 1- Main conversion and switching equipments, such as conversion transformer, lightning rod, cut-off switch, circuit breaker, current transformer, capacitor
- 2- Indoor control and support equipments, such as control panels, battery shelf, busbar, condenser, fan, air conditioner and chiller, lighting and fire extinguish systems, computer equipments and monitor, shelves, and communication equipments

Table (5-4) shows the list of these equipments presenting observed and possible seismic damage modes, common occurrence reasons of these damage modes and their rehabilitation general method based in damage mode and reason. Seismic damage modes of power plant equipments are not limited to items provided in table (5-4) and the consultant must examine the occurrence possibility of other seismic damage mode based on local conditions and vulnerability studies results, for each case.

Equipments of GIS gas substations have less vulnerability history and are not presented in table (5-4). Samples of rehabilitation details and seismic performance control of equipments are presented in figures (5-1)-(5-12).



Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
Transformer	Overturn and slippage	Uncontrolled slippage due to	Replacing wheel with
	Failure or oil leakage from	lack of proper lateral bracing	anchored seat
	insulator	or being placed on wheels or	Attaching with anchor rod to
	Radiator detachment	rail	foundation
	Oil tank detachment	Uncontrolled vibrations of	Lateral metallic support
		insulator	attached to foundation
		Lack of lateral bracing system	Replacing ceramic insulator
		Lack of lateral bracing system	with composite
			R
			against leakage
			Embedding proper lateral
			bracing in support
			Embedding proper lateral
			Embedding proper lateral
			bracing in support
			Embedding proper lateral
Current transformer	Overturn, bending, local	High mass concentration in	Replacing with composite or
(top core and down	failure, and cracking	height in top core	resistant types
core)	mostly in top core current	transformers and brittleness	Seismic separation of support
	transformers		structure from foundation
			Seismic separation and
			flexibility of ceramic
			connection cap to leg
			Energy depreciation in support
			structure using dampers
			Providing sufficient play in
			connection conductors to
			interaction effects
Reactor	Overturn and slippage	Uncontrolled slippage due to	Replacing wheel with
	Failure or oil leakage from	lack of proper lateral bracing	anchored seat
	insulator	or being placed on wheels or	Attaching with anchor rod to
	Reactor detachment	rail	foundation
	Oil tank detachment	Uncontrolled vibrations of	Lateral metallic support
		insulator	attached to foundation
		Lack of lateral bracing system	Replacing ceramic insulator
		Lack of lateral bracing system	with composite
			R
			against leakage
		II and	Embedding proper lateral
		0/517	bracing in support
		1555	Embedding proper lateral
	1200	and the second	Embedding proper lateral
	1010		

Table 5-4: Seismic rehabilitation guide of substations equipments

Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
			bracing in support
			Embedding proper lateral
Voltage transformer	Overturn, bending, local	High mass concentration in	Replacing with composite or
(with or without	failure, and cracking	height and brittleness of	resistant types
wave trap)			Seismic separation of support
			structure from foundation
			Seismic separation and
			flexibility of ceramic
			connection cap to leg
			Energy depreciation in support
			structure using dampers
			Providing sufficient play in
			connection conductors to
			interaction effects
Station transformer	Overturn and slippage	Uncontrolled slippage due to	Replacing wheel with
	Failure or oil leakage from	lack of proper lateral bracing	anchored seat
	insulator	or being placed on wheels or	Attaching with anchor rod to
	Radiator detachment	rail	foundation
	Oil tank detachment	Uncontrolled vibrations of	Lateral metallic support
		insulator	attached to foundation
		Lack of lateral bracing system	Embedding proper lateral
		Lack of lateral bracing system	bracing in support
			Embedding proper lateral
			Embedding proper lateral
			bracing in support
			Embedding proper lateral
Circuit breaker	Bending and cracking	Brittleness of equipment	Replacing with composite or
		materials	resistant type
		Adjacent equipments	Seismic separation of support
		interaction with tension of	structure from foundation
		wire attached to equipment	Seismic separation and
			flexibility of ceramic
			connection cap to leg
			Energy depreciation in support
			structure using dampers
			Providing sufficient play in
			connection conductors to
			interaction effects
V, T, and I shaped	Overturn, bending, local	High mass concentration in	Replacing with composite or
cut-off switch	failure, and cracking often	height and brittleness of	resistant type
	in V and T types	equipment materials	Seismic separation of support
		Adjacent equipments	structure from foundation
•	100		

Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
		interaction with tension of	Seismic separation and
		wire attached to equipment	flexibility of ceramic
			connection cap to leg
			Energy depreciation in support
			structure using dampers
			Providing sufficient play in
			connection conductors to
			interaction effects
Lightning rod	Overturn, bending, local	High mass concentration in	Replacing with composite or
	failure, and cracking	height and brittleness of	resistant type
		equipment materials	Seismic separation of support
		Adjacent equipments	structure from foundation
		interaction with tension of	Seismic separation and
		wire attached to equipment	flexibility of ceramic
			connection cap to leg
			Energy depreciation in support
			structure using dampers
			Providing sufficient play in
			connection conductors to
			decrease adj
			interaction effects
Capacitor	Overturn	Lack of lateral system	Embedding lateral bracing for
	_		bank
Battery shelf	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Embedding a lateral bracing
			for shelves containing
~	-		batteries
Control or	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with bracing rod to
distribution panel			floor
			Lateral connection to wall
			Attaching to ceiling
			Attaching panels to each other
Busbar	Detachment and failure of	Uncontrolled relative	Replacing tube aluminum
	connections	displacement	busbar with wire while
			embedding sufficient play and
			freedom
			Using mechanical parts which
			could get opened and closed in
Condenser, fan, air	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with anchor rod to
conditioner, and	o voiturii	Luck of fatoral of acting system	floor
chiller			Lateral connection to wall
		a lla sh	Embedding seismic separator
			in leg
Lighting system	Wire and power cut	Uncontrolled relative	Embedding sufficient play and
	the and power out	displacement	freedom for wire or cable
		anopiacement	



Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
Fire extinguish	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with anchor rod to
system			floor
			Lateral connection to wall
Computer	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with bolt or glue to
equipments and			a braced table
monitor			Attaching to each other
			Attaching with bolt to wall
Shelves	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with anchor rod to
			floor
			Lateral connection to wall
			Attaching to ceiling
			Attaching shelves to each
			other
Communication	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Attaching with bolt or glue to
equipments			a braced table
			Attaching to each other
			Attaching with bolt to wall

Replacing with very strong insulator



Figure 5-1: Equipments rehabilitation using support reinforcement and replacing fragile insulators with resistant composite insulator





Figure 5-2: Stabilizing transformers body against overturn and slippage with bracings in leg



Figure 5-3: Equipment rehabilitation by increasing rigidity and changing vibration properties





Figure 5-4: Embedding brake in transformer's leg for controlling slippage mode



Figure 5-5: Reinforcing bracing of transformer's radiator



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 5-6: Insulator connection rehabilitation for controlling connection's failure and oil leakage modes



Figure 5-7: Embedding flexible conductor with play in order to control the relative displacement of equipments





Figure 5-8: Embedding cut-off switch for controlling equipment's performance



Figure 5-9: Using damper and seismic separator in support structure's leg of equipments to decrease seismic input



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 5-10: Batteries shelf bracing for controlling overturn



Figure 5-11: Direct bracing of equipments' body for controlling overturn and slippage damage modes



Figure 5-12: Bracing spare equipments in warehouse



@omoorepeyman.ir

5-2-2-3-Buildings

The seismic rehabilitation of buildings is carried out based on seismic rehabilitation instruction (issue #360, P D P C).

5-2-3-Super-distribution transmission network

The super-distribution transmission network or high-pressure connection lines between power plants and substations include legs and communicative wires. Generally, these networks are built in 63, 132, 230, and 400 kV voltages. High pressure lines are as single-circuit or multi-circuit with telecommunication optical fiber cables or upper protective wire.

There are different high pressure supports and include various shapes as truss supports, frame supports, pre-stressed concrete supports, telescopic metallic supports, and braced truss or frame supports, among which the truss support is the most common. The truss supports, in regards to wires span and inclination angle and ground topography are in light and heavy types and with different elevation supports.

These supports do not have any damage history under earthquake vibration due to light weight and their major damages were due to ground deformations and mountain downfalls. Indeed, wires oscillations in large spans and tension towers would also highly increase the imposed tensile force and in cases would ions and wire connection hardware to towers.

Table (5.5) shows the list of these equipments presenting observed and possible seismic damage modes, common occurrence reasons of these damage modes and their rehabilitation general method based in damage mode and reason. Seismic damage modes of power plant equipments are not limited to items provided in table (5.4) and the consultant must examine the occurrence possibility of other seismic damage mode based on local conditions and vulnerability studies results, for each case.



Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
Truss supports	Overturn,	High ground settlement	Ground stabilization with injection or
	slippage, and	due to liquefaction or	consolidation
	bending	lateral spreading	Reinforcing foundation or embedding piles or
	М	High ground	micro-piles or converting to a widespread
	damage	displacement due to slope	foundation
	_	slip	Slope stabilization or tower bracing against
		High ground	slippage with reinforcing foundation or using
		displacement due to	tendons or creating retaining wall or putting
		faulting at fault	guard at tower
		intersecting location	Replacing towers located in faulting area
		Mountain downfall and	Stabilization of downfalls with shotcrete and
		adjacent structures	mesh or using wire screen traps of downfall
		Adjacent towers overturn	rocks or structural obstacles at tower upper parts
		Stealing tower parts and	for bracing downfall pieces
		creating structural	Physical protection of tower with anti-theft
		weakness	obstacles
Frame and H-	Overturn,	High ground settlement	Ground stabilization with injection or
shaped supports	slippage, and	due to liquefaction or	consolidation
	bending	lateral spreading	Reinforcing foundation or embedding piles or
	M	High ground	micro-piles or converting to a widespread
	damage	displacement due to slope	foundation or increasing supports buried depth
		slip	Slope stabilization or tower bracing against
		High ground	slippage with reinforcing foundation or using
		foulting at foult	tendons or creating retaining wall or putting
		intercepting location	guard at tower
		Mountain downfall and	Stabilization of downfalls with shotcrete and
		adjacent structures	mesh or using wire screen trans of downfall
		Adjacent towers overturn	mesh of using whe server traps of downan
		Insufficient shear strength	parts for bracing downfall pieces
		Insufficient lateral	Frame bracing using internal anchors or
		rigidity of frame	external cables
Pre-stressed	Overturn,	High ground settlement	Ground stabilization with injection or
concrete	slippage, and	due to liquefaction or	consolidation
supports	bending	lateral spreading	Reinforcing foundation or embedding piles or
		High ground	micro-piles or converting to a widespread
		displacement due to slope	foundation or increasing supports buried depth
		slip	Slope stabilization or tower bracing against
		High ground	slippage with reinforcing foundation or using
		displacement due to	tendons or creating retaining wall or putting
		faulting at fault	guard at tower
		intersecting location	Replacing legs located in faulting area
		Mountain downfall and	Stabilization of downfalls with shotcrete and
		adjacent structures	mesh or using wire screen traps of downfall
		Adjacent towers overturn	2

 Table 5-5: Seismic rehabilitation guide of super-distribution transmission network components

Component	Possible damage	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
component	mode	2 uninge i ensen	
		Insufficient shear strength	parts for bracing downfall pieces
			Increasing shear strength by confining with FRP
Telescopic	Overturn,	High ground settlement	Ground stabilization with injection or
metallic supports	slippage, and	due to liquefaction or	consolidation
	bending	lateral spreading	Reinforcing foundation or embedding piles or
		High ground	micro-piles or converting to a widespread
		displacement due to slope	foundation or increasing supports buried depth
		slip	Slope stabilization or tower bracing against
		High ground	slippage with reinforcing foundation or using
		displacement due to	tendons or creating retaining wall or putting
		faulting at fault	guard at tower
		intersecting location	Replacing legs located in faulting area
		Mountain downfall and	Stabilization of downfalls with shotcrete and
		adjacent structures	mesh or using wire screen traps of downfall
		Adjacent towers overturn	rocks or struct
			parts for bracing downfall pieces
Truss or braced	Overturn,	High ground settlement	Ground stabilization with injection or
frame supports	slippage, and	due to liquefaction or	consolidation
	bending	lateral spreading	Reinforcing foundation or embedding piles or
	В	High ground	micro-piles or converting to a widespread
	play	displacement due to slope	foundation
		slip	Slope stabilization or tower bracing against
		High ground	slippage with reinforcing foundation or using
		displacement due to	tendons or creating retaining wall or putting
		faulting at fault	guard at tower
		intersecting location	Replacing legs located in faulting area
		Mountain downfall and	Stabilization of downfalls with shotcrete and
		adjacent structures	mesh or using wire screen traps of downfall
		Adjacent towers overturn	
		Low tensile capacity of	parts for bracing downfall pieces
		bracing	Replacing or adding bracings
		Displacement of bracing	Stabilization of bracing support
		support	
Wires,	Wire cut	Overturn or bending of	Support stabilization
conductors, and		support	E
optical fibers		Oscillation and resonance	by changing its vibration properties, changing
		in wire	ernal tension, changing wires
			separators arrangement
Hardware and	Connections and	Support overturn and	Reinforcing hardware connections and using
connections	hardware failure	bending	high strength bolts
		Oscillation and resonance	Replacing hardware with resistant type
		in wire	
Insulators	Detaching from	Support overturn or	Reinforcing hardware connections and using
	hardware	bending	high strength bolts
		Oscillation and resonance	P
		in wire	connecting wires



Figure 5-13: Modifying the tower support details in sloped grounds in order to rehabilitate behavior

5-2-4-Urban distribution network

The reviewed components in urban distribution network include non-building structures, equipments, and buildings. These components are separately discussed and a list of them is presented in table (5.6). Also, the distribution network includes 20kV medium-power, 400 and 220V low-power, and urban lighting network. Aerial, ground, and underground voltage conversion substations are tasked with converting medium-power voltages to low-power voltages for urban application.



Figure 5-14: Distribution supports and aerial transformer



@omoorepeyman.ir

		Transformer and its connections	
	Aerial substations	Posts	
	(single or couple support)	LV panels	
		Crossarm and insulators of connection to network	
Distribution	Ground substations		
substations		Transformer and its connections	
		LV and MV panels	
		S	
	Underground substations	Transformer and its connections	
		LV and MV panels	
	Medium pressure aerial lines	Posts (concrete, pre-stressed concrete, wooden, and	
		metallic)	
		Crossarm (metallic, wooden, concrete, and composite)	
		Insulators (pin, post, suspension, spool, and stay)	
		Wires (conductors)	
Distribution lines		Posts (concrete, pre-stressed concrete, wooden, and	
Distribution mits		metallic)	
	Low pressure aerial lines	Crossarm (metallic, wooden, concrete, and composite)	
		Insulators (pin, post, suspension, spool, and stay)	
		Wires (conductors)	
	Cable ground lines	Cables and connection, path, manholes, and junctions	
Road lighting	Cantilevered handles from posts for lighting		
Buildings	Office, control, warehouse, and other buildings		

Table 5-6: List of power distribution network components

5-2-4-1-Non-building structures

The non-building structures of distribution networks generally include regular and pre-stressed concrete, metallic, wooden, distribution and lighting supports, handles, aerial wires conducting network, aerial and underground cables along with ducts and manholes.

Table (5.7) shows the list of these equipments presenting observed and possible seismic damage modes, common occurrence reasons of these damage modes and their rehabilitation general method based in damage mode and reason. Seismic damage modes of power plant equipments are not limited to items provided in table (5.6) and the consultant must examine the occurrence possibility of other seismic damage mode based on local conditions and vulnerability studies results, for each case.



Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
Regular	Overturn and slippage	High ground settlement due	Ground stabilization with injection or
reinforced	Bending	to liquefaction or lateral	consolidation
concrete posts	Bending shear failure	spreading	Reinforcing foundation or increasing
		High ground displacement	buried depth
		due to slope slip	Slope stabilization or tower bracing
		High ground displacement	against slippage with reinforcing
		due to faulting at fault	foundation or creating retaining wall or
		intersecting location	putting guard
		Debris and adjacent	Relocating supports located in faulting
		structures fall down	area
		Adjacent posts overturn	Reinforcing weak adjacent structures
		Insufficient shear and	Relocating supports from debris or
		bending strength of	building fall down risk area
		materials	Increasing shear and bending strength
			using confining with FRP and using its
			straps
			Increasing shear and bending strength by
			confining with reinforced support
			concrete
			Increasing shear and bending strength by
			reinforcing using steel straps
			Changing support
			Using stabilizing surface metal web at
			intersection with ground
Pre-stressed	Overturn and slippage	High ground settlement due	Ground stabilization with injection or
concrete posts	Bending	to liquefaction or lateral	consolidation
	Shear failure	spreading	Reinforcing foundation or increasing
		High ground displacement	buried depth
		due to slope slip	Slope stabilization or tower bracing
		High ground displacement	against slippage with reinforcing
		due to faulting at fault	foundation or creating retaining wall or
		intersecting location	putting guard
		Debris and adjacent	Relocating supports located in faulting
		structures fall down	area
		Adjacent posts overturn	Reinforcing weak adjacent structures
		Insufficient shear strength	Relocating supports from debris or
			building fall down risk area
			Increasing shear strength using confining
			with FRP and using ring straps
			Increasing shear strength by confining
			with steel ring straps
		11 11	Using stabilizing surface metal web at
		1 OJSIPA	intersection with ground
Wooden posts	Overturn and slippage	High ground settlement due	Ground stabilization with injection or
	Bending	to liquefaction or lateral	consolidation
	Bending shear failure	spreading	Reinforcing foundation or increasing
		1 414 Same 2 0 3	

Table 5-7: Seismic rehabilitation guide of distribution network non-building structures

Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
		High ground displacement	buried depth
		due to slope slip	Slope stabilization or tower bracing
		High ground displacement	against slippage with reinforcing
		due to faulting at fault	foundation or creating retaining wall or
		intersecting location	putting guard
		Debris and adjacent	Relocating supports located in faulting
		structures fall down	area
		Adjacent posts overturn	Reinforcing weak adjacent structures
		Insufficient shear and	Relocating supports from debris or
		bending strength of	building fall down risk area
		materials	Increasing shear and bending strength by
			reinforcing using steel straps
			Changing support
			Using stabilizing surface metal web at
			intersection with ground
Metallic posts	Overturn and slippage	High ground settlement due	Ground stabilization with injection or
and lighting	Bending	to liquefaction or lateral	consolidation
supports	Bending failure	spreading	Reinforcing foundation or increasing
		High ground displacement	buried depth
		due to slope slip	Slope stabilization or tower bracing
		High ground displacement	against slippage with reinforcing
		due to faulting at fault	foundation or creating retaining wall or
		intersecting location	putting guard
		Debris and adjacent	Relocating supports located in faulting
		structures fall down	area
		Adjacent posts overturn	Reinforcing weak adjacent structures
		Insufficient bending strength	Relocating supports from debris or
		of materials	building fall down risk area
			Increasing bending strength by
			reinforcing using vertical steel straps
			Using stabilizing surface metal web at
			intersection with ground
Underground	Wall failure and soil	Insufficient strength	Reinforcing du
ducts	filling in		internal bracing
	C		Adding opening or longitudinal
	shell and opening of	pressure	reinforcement in order to remove
	contraction gaps	High ground deformation	opening
	Ceiling covering fall	due to liquefaction or	Ground stabilization
	down into duct	faulting or slip movement	Providing flexible ground by changing
	Damages to cables on		
	handles	design	Using seismic gaps at intersection with
		Sharpness of handle of cable	fault
		seat	Removing sharpness of cable seat handle
		OSP	by changing or modifying the handle
Underground	Detachment of cables	High relative deformation	Embedding sufficient freedom and
cable	trom structures or cut	between cable and structure	tlexibility at cable connection point to
	at their connection to	or manhole due to excessive	structure or manhole using blunt proper



@omoorepeyman.ir

Component	Possible damage mode	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
	building, canals, or		pipe sleeve, predicting a little excess
	other equipments	constrained cable connection	length and bent in cable or using cables
	Cable joints and	to structure	with more strength at required points or
	connections failure	High ground deformation	intersections with fault
		due to liquefaction of	Changing or modifying or removing
		faulting or slope movement	joints, changing force distribution in
		Brittleness and insufficient	cable or providing flexibility to soil
		strength of joints	surrounding the cable
			Using pipe sleeve
Manhole	Shear failure	Lack of sufficient shear	Internal shear reinforcement using ring
	Bending	strength	straps
		Liquefaction	
Wires, cables,	Wire cut	Overturn or bending of	Support stabilization
and aerial		support	Eliminating resonance oscillation mode
conductors		Oscillation and resonance in	by changing its vibration properties or
		wire	
Hardware and	Connections and	Support overturn and	Reinforcing hardware connections and
connections	hardware failure	bending	using high strength bolts
		Oscillation and resonance in	Replacing hardware with resistant type
		wire	
Insulators	Detaching from	Support overturn or bending	Reinforcing hardware connections and
	hardware	Oscillation and resonance in	using high strength bolts
		wire	Р
			connecting wires
Subscribers'	Connection cable cut	Insufficient play in order to	Providing sufficient play in order to
junctions		damp the relative	damp the relative displacement of
		displacement of building and	building and cable connected to the
		cable connected to the	network
		network	

For hard and rock grounds in medium, high, and very high deflections seismic conditions, and concrete posts with 800 to 1200 of nominal power, a buried depth of at least 2.4m are required. For low seismic conditions for a soil with above-mentioned properties, a 1.7m buried depth would suffice. For rehabilitation of posts which are implemented with low depth, the

in which steel reinforcing members could be used beneath the foundation and/or surrounding soil, as shown in the following figure.



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 5-15: Rehabilitation details of aerial transformers of aerial distribution lines using support stabilization method



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 5-16: Rehabilitation details of aerial distribution lines beams using the stabilization in foundation method




Figure 5-17: Rehabilitation details of aerial distribution lines beams using jacket and/or metal strap

Underground distribution lines should be sufficiently flexible and capable of accepting displacement. Therefore, the above issues could be considered according to the following details as an approach which uses highly flexible pipes throughout the passing course.



Figure 5-18: Using mild connection in ground transmission power distribution lines

5-2-4-2-Equipments

Totally, the distribution network equipments include aerial transformer, on-ground transformer, low voltage and high voltage panels, and insulators. Table (5.8) shows the list of these equipments presenting observed and possible seismic damage modes, common occurrence reasons of these damage modes and their rehabilitation general method based in damage mode and reason. Seismic damage modes of power plant equipments are not limited to items provided in table (5.7) and the consultant must examine the occurrence possibility of other seismic damage mode based on local conditions and vulnerability studies results, for each case.



Component	Possible damage	Damage reason	Rehabilitation method
	mode		
On-ground transformer	Overturn and	Uncontrolled slippage due to lack of	Replacing wheel with
	slippage	proper lateral bracing or being placed	anchored seat
	Failure or oil	on wheels or rail	Attaching with anchor rod
	leakage from	Uncontrolled vibrations of insulator	to foundation
	insulator	Lack of lateral bracing system	Lateral metallic support
	Radiator	Lack of lateral bracing system	attached to foundation
	detachment		Replacing ceramic
	Oil tank		insulator with composite
	detachment		R
			connection against leakage
			Embedding proper lateral
			bracing in support
			Embedding proper lateral
			body
			Embedding proper lateral
			bracing in support
			Embedding proper lateral
			body
Aerial transformer	Overturn		R
	Bending	base	connection and bracing to
	Oil leakage	В	base
		Damages in support	Reinforcing base
			Replacing bolts with high
		connection bolts to base	strength ones
			Ι
			lower or lateral profile
Low pressure and	Overturn	Lack of lateral bracing system	Connecting with anchor
medium pressure panels			rod to floor or ground
and booths			Lateral connection to wall
			or base
			Connecting to ceiling or
			bases connecting beam
			Connecting panels to each
			other

Table 5-8: Seismic rehabilitation guide of distribution network equipments





Figure 5-17: Examples for rehabilitation details of panels' anchor

5-2-4-3-Buildings

Medium and low voltage substations are usually constructed as on-ground or underground. Generally, the buildings of on-ground substations are built in two type; single-storey with monumental materials and concrete or metallic cradling, and two-stories with concrete or metallic frame.



).

Some of these substations are single-storey with a basement in order to provide connection to underground cable network or canals or cable ducts. The underground substations are constructed where there is not enough space and possibility to construct on-ground substations. Usually, they have frames with concrete or monumental walls.

The seismic rehabilitation of these building structures is carried out based on seismic rehabilitation

#360, P D P C



@omoorepeyman.ir

(





@omoorepeyman.ir



@omoorepeyman.ir

Appendix 1-Classification of subscribers of power transmission network

In this guideline, types of intended subscribers involve home utilization, public utilizations, agricultural utilizations, industrial utilization, commercial utilizations, lighting and special subscribers. In following, above-mentioned subscribers are described summarily.

Power branching for home utilizations is applied for a branch that merely established for operation of ordinary home apparatus and equipments in residential units.

Power branching for public utilization is applied to a branch that is used for public services. Types of public subscribers can be classified as following.

- ministries and their subsidiary offices, the Legislative, the Judiciary, Foundation of Martyrs and Veterans Affair, Foundation of the Oppressed and Disabled, Foundation of the 15 Khordad, municipalities and all governmental firms and organizations that aren't administered as company (such as Organization of Hajj, Endowment and Benevolent Affairs, Organization of Management and Planning, Environment Protection Organization, Agricultural-Jihad organizations of provinces, customs, Port & Maritime Administration, I.R. Iran Civil Aviation Organization), Joint consumptions of non-residential building complexes, mausoleums, cemeteries and ghasalkhanehs.
- all qualified research institutions and research centers with valid licenses from formal authorities, Sale positions of petroleum products, Governmental sanitary and remedial centers such as hospitals, clinics, Centers of medical recognition, Medical centers, all beneficence institutions and centers, of Red Crescent and Imam Khomeini Relief Committee, residential complexes and towns, gardens, green areas of cities and consumptions related to beautification of cities.
- cultural centers (such as libraries, museums, registered historical sites), Broadcasting, cinemas, education and upbringing centers (such as kindergartens, preschools, schools, universities, educational hospitals, Career and Technical Education centers, schools, seminaries) student dormitories, student camps, Islamic Development Organization, mosques, Hoseiniehes, tombs of martyrs, shrine monuments and holy places of recognized religious minorities, sport centers, Disabled welfare and patronization centers, peoples with disabilities and elders, baths and clubs.
- military and police garrisons and centers
- rural and urban drinking water pumpage and refineries, sewage collection refineries and networks, drainage wells related to water and sewage centers, jungle parks and non-traditional bakeries
- small sanitary and medical centers such as medic recognition, medication centers, medical centers and physician clinics
- traditional bakeries

Agricultural power branching is applied for branching that uses power for pumpage of surfacial and underground waters or further pumpage of water for production of crops and also have operation licenses from Regional Water corps. Agricultural subscribers can be divided in two groups including water pumpage for irrigation (agriculture, further pumpage, pressurized and gravity irrigation) and water pumpage for production of crops (gardening, animal husbandry, reproduction and culture of aquatic in internal waters).



Industrial power branching is applied for branching that is used for operation of big industries and plants (such as production of mushroom, fishery, culture of caterpillar, reproduction and culture of aquatic in internal waters, poultries and animal husbandries) and small industries and production guilds.

Commercial power branching is applied for branching that is used generally for market places in nonproduction centers and also all supply centers. According to International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities (ISIC), various types of commercial subscribers can be presented as following:

- Wholesales such as wholesale of all products such as foods, home appliances, office supplies, etc.
- retails such as retailing of all products such as groceries, carnages, supermarkets, bookstores, boutiques, drugstores and cosmetics stores



⊕omoorepeyman.Ir

	Subscriber Type	Inclusive organizations	definition of activity
1	staff	province general governors	planning and dispatching of received forces and
		governments	supplies from various sources, procurement and
		municipalities	collection of reliefs, suitable maintenance and
		crisis management organizations	distribution of facilities to relief forces and
		unexpected accidents and disaster	people, planning and coordination for supplying
		staff	facilities to inhabit people and for damaged
		Presidency of The Islamic	regions on the basis of precedence, supplying
		Republic of Iran	required communications for relief
		communication and information	organizations and institutions, supplying
		systems	required communications for damaged people
2	power support	Regional Electric Companies	supplying power to damaged regions to endure
		power transmission companies	relief operation day and night and prevent
			horror of damaged people from darkness,
			detection of damaged electrical facilities,
			technical inspection of all supply lines,
			equipment and connections related to
			subscribers in damaged regions and try to
			reestablish power transmission system
3	political and	Organizations and administrations	
	military	of information and security	
		General Command of Armed	
		Forces of the Islamic Republic of	
		Iran	
		military and police centers	
		centers of aerial and armed forces	
4	auxiliary	hospitals, emergency centers and	specialized search to find individuals, required
		clinics, Red Crescent populations,	actions to bring out damaged peoples,
		organizations of firefighting and	performing basic critical actions in incident
		safety services of cities, Road &	places, remedial actions, supplying sanitary
		I ransportation offices, Relief	forces in provisional places and sanitary
		commutees	controlling of damaged areas, halting and
			quenching fire, supplying required safety for
			relievers, recognition and depris removing of
			transportation machines to relief and remove
			debris
5	critical services	The National Iranian Oil Products	performing basic critical actions with
5	entieur services	Refining&	coordination of emergency teams compulsive
		Distribution	housing and providing necessities and primary
		gas companies	utensils of damaged people supply and
		water and sewage organizations	distribute fuel and oil products, water for
		Fruits, Vegetable and Agricultural	drinking and other consumption and also food
		Products Wholesale Markets	A state of the second second and also food
		Organizations	S
			5



Figure 1-Communication equipment in control center or secondary stations



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 2-Flowchart of development and implementation steps of preparatory plan against earthquake



Appendix 2: Samples of fragility function

2-1-Cable fragility curves

2-1-1-Methods of calculation of fragility curves

(1)Definition of damage modes for buried cable systems

a) Major fragility

Major fragility of cables occurs when structural response under earthquake load exceeds major fragility mode

b) Moderate fragility

Moderate fragility occurs when cable structural response under earthquake load is between major and minor fragility mode

c) Minor fragility

Moderate fragility occurs when cable structural response under earthquake load is lower than major and moderate fragility mode

(2)Definition of fragility occurrence probability

If R_{major} is the critical strength of structural component in major fragility mode and $R_{moderate}$ is related to intermediate fragility mode, fragility occurrence probability for different fragility mode can be computed through following equation when load S is applied on structure:

$$P[major damage] = P[R_{major} < S]$$

 $P[\text{moderate damage}] = P[R_{\text{min or}} \le S \le R_{\text{major}}] = 1 - P[\text{major damage}] - P[\text{minor damage}] \quad (1)$ $P[\text{minor damage}] = P[R_{\text{min or}} > S] \quad (1)$

(3) Calculation method of fragility occurrence probability

If structure strength R and applied load S are random variables, fragility occurrence probability is calculated through following method. It is assumed that Z is random variable with mathematical expectancy E[Z] and standard deviation σ_z . So, fragility occurrence probability is given by following relation:

$$P[R < S] = P[Z < 0] = P[E(Z) + z\sigma_{Z} < 0] = P\left[z < -\frac{E[Z]}{\sigma_{Z}}\right] =$$

$$= P[z < -\beta] = \Phi[-\beta] = 1 - \Phi[\beta]$$
(2)

Where

 φ is function of standard time distribution and β is obtained from relation 3:

$$\beta = \frac{E[Z]}{\sigma_z} = \frac{E[R] - E[S]}{\sqrt{\sigma_R^2 + \sigma_S^2}}$$
(3)

Where

 β is safety index related to design on the basis of reliability.

(4) Descriptive example of fragility curves

Fragility curve is defined as fragility occurrence probability P[damage] for expected load of E[s]. Figure 3 shows fragility curve for each fragility mode.





Figure 3-Descriptive example of fragility curves

2-1-2-Structural components of buried cables and associated structures

Fragility mode of each structure is the main index of its final strength. Table 2 presents summary of structural models of underground cables, associated underground structures and their connection to buildings.

Variation factor of structural components is assumed to be between 10 and 30 percent that gives conservative estimation of axial strength of underground structures, because there isn't enough information regarding axial behavior of these structures under earthquake effect.

a) Buried cable

- Maximum compressive and tensile strain against alternative loads such as earthquake indicates critical strength. In the case of intersection with fault, tensile strength of cable plays more important role. This mode is critical mode.
- b) Underground cable connected to building
 - An underground structure involves various conduits, manholes and containers. Entry or exit cable from underground structure may be failed in tensile mode or due to settlement or movement of structure. If underground structure doesn't situated on pile, vertical settlement don't cause considerable problem but horizontal movements are important in the cases such as passage across fault.
- c) Connection of underground cable to structure
 - In the case of vertical settlement between structure and surroundings soil, huge bending is imposed on cable that may lead to cable bucking. In this regard, bending angle represent ultimate strength of cable connected to building.
 - Experimental result of cable bending by ADEP in 1986 presented values of cable strength in terms of bending angle. Conservatively, about 2.5 degree is considered corresponding to minor fragility mode and 12 degree is considered as extensive fragility mode. Table 2 represents intermediate values and variation factor of these two fragility mode.



fragility mode	fragility state	unit	Cable strength			
			intermediate		variation factor	
			Rminor	Rminor	dRminor	dRminor
fragility due to	alternative	%	1	10	0.1	0.2
waves	strain					
fragility due to	strain	%	10	30	0.2	0.3
horizontal						
extension						
fragility due to	short	degree	2.5	12	0.2	0.3
vertical settlement	curvature					

Table 2-Structural models and their strength for buried cables



[20Ku 1C*35/16 up to 1C*500/35 Armoured power cables]

Figure 4-Section of 20kV buried cable

Cables have various sections. Figure 4 shows a sample of cable section that has copper core. Cable rupture occurs due to yielding this core or cracking of its outer sheath. Figure 5 shows a sample of cable stress-strain curve. However, this curve is different depends on cable insulation system. In this model, cable diameter for voltages 63, 20 and 0.4 kV is considered to be equal to 10, 5 and 2 cm, respectively.





Figure 5-Schematic cable stress-strain curve

2-1-3-Load models

Table 3 represent summary hazards due to ground movements that can damage cable, together with required seismic parameters for their evaluation and recommended methods to obtain these parameters and required geotechnical characteristics for evaluation of spontaneous hazard. Cable evaluation method must be capable to consider all resources and potentials of ground deformation.

Hazard	Earthquake parameter	resource	geotechnical parameter			
Ground transient movement						
total quake	PGA, PGV, accelergraph	SHA	condition and depth of soil and VS			
direction effect of	fault distance	SHA, fault	fault type, rupture direction and mechanism			
near focus		map				
magnification		SHA	local condition of soil and VS			
	Permanent ground displacement					
faulting	magnitude and length	geology	fault type and strike			
liquefaction	PGA, magnitude	SHA	soil type, density, layer thickness and groundwater			
			level			
lateral expansion	PGA, magnitude and	SHA	topography, soil type, strength and groundwater			
	distance		level			
dip sliding	PGA, accelergraph	SHA	topography, soil type, strength and groundwater			
			level			
settlement	PGA	SHA	topography, soil type, strength and groundwater			
			level			

SHA: Seismic hazard analysis system

PGA: maximum ground acceleration

PGV: maximum ground velocity

2-1-6-Cable fragility curves in various fragility modes

a)Cable fragility curves due to wave

Ground strain due to earthquake is calculated as following:

$$\varepsilon_G = \frac{S_V}{V_s}$$

(4)

@omoorepeyman.ir

Where, S_V and V_S are velocity response spectrum and shear wave velocity in ground level, respectively. Figure 6 shows one sample of cable fragility curve due to wave effect in which, cable limit strain in extension state between minor and intermediate mode and between intermediate and extensive mode are assumed to be 1% and 10% respectively.



Figure 6-Cable fragility curve due to effect of earthquake waves

b) Cable fragility curves in settlement due to liquefaction

Settlement due to liquefaction for h between 0 and 2.5 m in the distance W with spring module K and bending hardness EI is assumed to be 1.57kgfcm and 30.68×10^6 kg/cm².

In this case, critical angles of cable for minor, intermediate and extensive modes are 2.5, 6 and 12 degrees respectively. For instance, fragility curves related to 63 kV, 20 kV and 0.4kV cables are shown respectively in figures 7 to 9.



@omoorepeyman.ir











c) Curves of cable fragility due to intersection with fault

Horizontal displacement of fault is considered to be between 0 and 10 m. Parameter values of E2 and q are equal to 1×10^5 N/cm² and 2 N/cm. Obtained fragility curve for 63 kV, 20 kV and 0.4kV cables are shown respectively in figures 10 to 12.





Fragility curve for fault effect of cables





Figure 11-Fragility curve of 20kV cable in intersection with fault



Fragility curve for fault effect of cables

Figure 12-Fragility curve of 0.4kV cable in intersection with fault

d) Cable fragility curves due to slope sliding

Width of sliding area W and elongation N are the main parameters in estimation of cable fragility probability resulted from elongation due to slope sliding. W is directly related to slope condition. For simplicity, effective length L obtained from analysis related to intersection with fault is considered. Figures 13 to 15 show fragility curves for 63 kV, 20 kV and 0.4kV cables.

Fragility curve for landslide effect of cables



Figure 13-Fragility curve of 63kV cable due to slope sliding



ee omoorepeyman.ir



Fragility curve for landslide effect of cables

Figure 14-Fragility curve of 20kV cable due to slope sliding



Figure 15-Fragility curve of 0.4kV cable due to slope sliding

2-2-Fragility curves of power posts

2-2-1-Introduction and review of previous studies

Power posts have shown vulnerable behaviour in pervious earthquakes. Transformer is the main member of posts to be investigated with regard of fragility state.

Previous earthquakes have shown that porcelain bushings are the most vulnerable member of transformer. Even in earthquakes of maximum acceleration of 0.3g, oil leaking occurred that lead to deenergizing transformer.

In some posts, several fragilities occurred due to interaction of earthquake effects and internal loads.



Due to bushing modelling in experiments individually, some discrepancies are appeared in bushing behaviour in experiments regarding actual behaviour in earthquake while in practice, collection of transformer and bushing act together.

In analytical model of busbar connection, it is shown that flexibility of connecting spring in yielding state lead to damping and decrease of vibration amplitude. These results are presented as dimensionless ratios. Numerical studies have been performed to investigate bending hardness effects, cable damping and various parameters of earthquake and dimensionless ratios of response have been presented as function of interaction parameter that represents slack values in flexible busbar system.

Another analytical study showed that transformer structure is more flexible than what is conceived. Moreover, transformer flexibility decrease drastically in a section that connects with bushing. Dynamic amplification is occurred in two frequencies.

Transformer flexibility affects bushing behaviour and this is predominately due to flexibility of upper sheet of transformer.

One objective was to obtain comprehensive behaviour for all types of transformer and bushing. For this end, bushing was modelled individually and together with transformer and linear dynamic analyses were performed under accelergraph effect of an earthquake. Another objective was to obtain fragility function of electrical equipment and recommendations have been proposed for major, intermediate and minor fragility modes.

2-2-2-An introduction to fragility curve of posts

In recent years, many fragility curves have been presented for post equipments which the most important of them are UWG in 1999 and HAZUS and FMEA in 1999.

a) UWG fragility curves 0.5

These fragility curves are based on four main parameters including minimum acceleration associated with initiation of fragility and accelerations associated with 16, 50 and 84 percent of fragility. These parameters have been estimated for various equipments. For example, table 4 presents these parameters for single-phase 230kVtransformer (TR1), **t** hr ee-phase 230kVtransformer (TR2), single-phase 500kVtransformer (TR3) **and t** hr ee-phase 500kVtransformer (TR4). Curves of figures 16 and 17 are presented based on normal distribution N ($m_1\sigma_1$) **f** or pr obabi l i t y l ower t han 0.5 and N ($m_2\sigma_2$) **f** or pr obabi l i t y hi gher t han 0.5, where m is mean value associated with 50 percent values in tables. σ_1 and σ_2 values are obtained with assumption that m- σ_1 is equal to 16 percent and m+ σ_2 are equal to 84 percent occurrence probability. Fragility probability for all acceleration values lower than mean values associated with initiation of fragility is assumed to be zero. Examples of these fragility curves are presented in figures 16 and 17.



ee omoorepeyman.

UWG Class	Equipment Description	Failure Mode	84th ¼(g)	50th % (g)	16th % (g)	Minimum (g)
		1 main porcelain gasket leak	0.75	0.50	0.25	0.25
	1 phase 230	1 main porcelain break	0.75	0.50	0.25	0.23
TD 1	1- phase 250	maior break in rediator	1.15	0.85	0.05	0.50
IKI	K V	major break in radiator	1.55	0.85	0.05	0.30
	transformer		1.60	0.95	0.80	0.73
		transformer overturn	1.80	1.50	1.40	0.90
		1 main porcelain gasket leak	0.75	0.50	0.20	0.20
		2 main porcelain gasket leaks	0.85	0.50	0.30	0.20
		3 main porcelain gasket leaks	0.95	0.50	0.40	0.20
	3- phase 230	1 main porcelain break	1.15	0.85	0.55	0.20
TR2	kV	2 main porcelain breaks	1.25	0.85	0.65	0.35
	transformer	3 main porcelain breaks	1.35	0.85	0.75	0.50
		major break in radiator	1.35	0.85	0.65	0.50
		anchorage failure	1.60	0.95	0.80	0.75
		transformer overturn	2.25	1.50	1.15	0.90
		1 main porcelain gasket leak	0.70	0.45	0.20	0.10
	1- phase 500	1 main porcelain break	1.05	0.75	0.50	0.10
TR3	kV	major break in radiator	1.25	0.75	0.55	0.40
	transformer	anchorage failure	1.60	0.95	0.80	0.75
		transformer overturn	1.80	1.50	1.40	0.90
		1 main porcelain gasket leak	0.65	0.40	0.15	0.10
		2 main porcelain gasket leaks	0.75	0.40	0.20	0.10
		3 main porcelain gasket leaks	0.85	0.40	0.30	0.10
	3- phase 500	1 main porcelain break	0.95	0.65	0.35	0.10
TR4	kV	2 main porcelain breaks	1.05	0.65	0.45	0.10
	transformer	3 main porcelain breaks major	1.15	0.65	0.55	0.10
		break in radiator	1.20	0.70	0.50	0.40
		anchorage failure	1.60	0.95	0.80	0.75
		transformer overturn	1.80	1.50	1.40	0.90

Table 4-Fragility parameters of various 230 and 500 kV transformers

@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 16-UWG fragility curves for single-phase 230 kV transformers

- b) HAZUS fragility curves
 - In this section, fragility curve of production, transmission and distribution equipments are investigated in terms of fragility modes. These curves have been developed for various electrical systems and indicate that if there is a fragility probability in fragility mode for any input acceleration. These curves have been prepared in two modes of standard and braced equipments that are associated with voltages of 138 to 765 kV or more for transmission facilities and 34.5 to 161 kV for distribution equipments. Classification of these equipments have been performed in three classes namely high voltage for 350 kV and more, intermediate voltage for 150 to 350 kV and low voltage for 34.5 to 150 kV that are recognized as 500 kV, 230 kV and 115 kV posts respectively.





Figure 17-HAZUS fragility curves for three-phase 230 kV transformers

- c) Definition of fragility mode in HAZUS
 - Power production, transmission and distribution equipments are vulnerable under PGA and sometimes PGD and their fragility modes are defined on the basis of these two parameters. Here, five fragility mode are defined for power facilities including ds1: without fragility, ds2: minor, ds3: intermediate, ds4: extensive and ds5: total destruction. In the case of power systems and distribution networks, these fragilities are associated with fragility percentage of their constitutive components. For example, a post including n1 transformer, n2 interruption keys, n3 current breakers and n4 current transformers is considered.
 - Parametric studies show that values of n1, n2, n3 and n4 indicate mean fragility mode and don't change considerably with change n values (lower than 2%) while their dispersion decreases with decrement of n.
- 1) minor/slight fragility (ds2)

Minor/slight fragility (ds2) is equal to fragility of 5% of cutoff keys (tilting) or 5% of current breakers (sliding from base or tilting or falling top structure) or slight fragility of control building.

2) Intermediate fragility (ds3)



Intermediate fragility (ds3) is equal to fragility of 40% of cutoff keys or 40% of current breakers or 40% of transformer (such as oil leaking or bushing cracking) or intermediate fragility of control building.

3) extensive fragility (ds4)

Extensive fragility (ds4) is equal to fragility of 70% of cutoff keys or 70% of current breakers or 70% of transformer (such as oil leaking or bushing cracking) or intermediate fragility of control building.

4) complete fragility (ds5)

Complete fragility (ds5) includes fragility of all cutoff keys, current breakers, power transformers and current or building transformers.

For example, data related to 24 posts are considered here that half of them are related to braced equipment. Tables 5 and 6 present mean and dispersion of these data. Figures 18 and 19 show related fragility curves.

d) Experimental fragility curves

Experimental fragility curves have been presented for big earthquakes of the world and compared with analytical curves on the basis of actual fragility and normal gauss distribution.



@omoorepeyman.ir

PGA					
Classification	Fragility mode	β	mean acceleration (g)		
	slight	0.70	0.15		
Low voltage (ESS1)	moderate	0.55	0.29		
	extensive	0.45	0.45		
	complete	0.45	0.90		
	slight	0.60	0.15		
	moderate	0.50	0.25		
Moderate voltage (ESS3)	extensive	0.40	0.35		
	complete	0.40	0.70		
	slight	0.50	0.11		
High voltage (ESS5)	moderate	0.45	0.15		
	extensive	0.35	0.20		
	complete	0.40	0.47		



Figure 18-HAZUS fragility curve for moderate voltage post with braced components



rga					
Classification	Fragility mode	β	mean accleration (g)		
	slight	0.65	0.13		
Low voltage (ESS2)	moderate	0.50	0.26		
	extensive	0.40	0.34		
	complete	0.40	0.74		
	slight	0.60	0.10		
	moderate	0.50	0.20		
Moderate voltage (ESS4)	extensive	0.40	0.30		
	complete	0.40	0.50		
	slight	0.50	0.09		
High voltage (ESS6)	moderate	0.45	0.13		
	extensive	0.35	0.17		
	complete	0.35	0.38		

Table 6-Frgility	algorithm of posts	(non-braced-standard	component)
------------------	--------------------	----------------------	------------



Figure 19-HAZUS fragility curve for moderate voltage post with non-braced components

2-2-3-An example of determination of fragility function for 230.63 kV posts

(1) Transformer model

Two types of 230.63 kV have been modeled with radiator according to figures 20 and 21. Generally, 230.63 kV transformers are modeled according to bushing shape and its geometry. These transformers generally have two types of bushing. The bushing of type 1 involves three porcelain parts and the bushing of type 2 involves a tall porcelain part that is situated over a metallic gasket.

The bushing of type 1 has constant section but the second type involves variable sections which their specifications are presented in table 7. With regard of geometry, there are two power transformers. In the type 1, radiators and oil tank are installed on transformer body. There is no separate base and so there are various types of oil tank shape. Two types of transformer with separate radiator and reservoir are shown in figures 20 and 21 that are connected with transformer.



Main tank has lateral bracing as well as horizontal plate that its dimensions are shown in figure 20. In both types, the base is braced in four angles. Each base involves 6 bolt with diameter 27 and material type of ASTM-A325. Bracing radiators and pipe connection between chamber and radiators in the typewere performed by welding and hardener. In both types, oil tank modeled by shell elements. Transformer was modeled by shell elements and radiator was modeled by three-dimensional elements. Shell elements were used for modeling of transformer tank and mass elements were used for modeling of transformer core and its coil. Bushing was modeled by types of pipe and beam shaped elements. Gaskets are placed in connection of porcelain and metallic part and above and below of bushing. In bushing of type 1, gaskets are thin plates (figure 20) that are only effective for endurance of little lateral displacement and so aren't modeled in big earthquake displacements. In the type 2 (figure 21) these thick plates (about 5.6 mm) are as a ring of horizontal shape with internal diameter of 250 mm and external diameter of 30 mm that is positioned in two sides of porcelain part.

These continuous components are modeled with parallel separate springs that are located in the peripheral parts of gaskets. Elasticity module of gasket is considered to be 48 MPa according to experimental results of Hergilani in 1999 and elasticity module of porcelains in both types is considered to be 99800 MPa. Table 7, represents other geometrical and mechanical specifications of transformers. As mentioned before, post-tensioned force is not considered. The models were constructed by ANSYS6.1.

Transformer type	Type 1	Type 2
dimension of main tank	2.3*7.6*4.1	2.55*7.7*3.9
bushing type	type 1	type 2
total length of bushing	3.775	4.24
porcelain length	1.725	3.6
number of the section specifications	26	16
support type	constant	constant
radiator type	separate	connected
thickness of upper plate of main tank	12	20
dimension of main tank hardener	Box 0.2*0.1*.004	Plate0.2*.02
elastic module of aluminum core	71000	71000
elastic module of insulator	99800	99800
elastic module of gasket		
lower diameter of porcelain	26	26
porcelain thickness	3	3
diameter of aluminum core	30.5	30.5

Table 7-Structural specifications of transformer



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 20-Three-dimensional finite element model of type 1 transformer



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 22-Details of gasket connection in type 1 bushing



Figure 23-Details of gasket connection in type 2 bushing

(2) Modal analysis

Modal analysis is performed to calculate natural frequencies. Tables 8 and 9 present 10 first frequencies of both types of transformer and bushing. Various geometrical and structural specifications in bushing and main tank lead to considerable variation of natural frequencies in



both types of transformer. Primary modes of transformer response are as shift of main tank in the direction of lower hardness.

First and second modes of type 1 transformer in Y direction are associated with frequency of 0.424 and 0.558 Hz respectively. In mode 1, main bushing and in mode2, secondary bushing are affected from solid movement. Natural mode of separate bushing is bending mode with frequency of 43.382 Hz. Frequency of the second and third transmission modes in Y direction are 1.942 and 2.03 Hz respectively. In the first and second mode, bushing is affected and rotates solidly. The first natural mode of separate bushing is bending with frequency of 5.090 Hz which is shown in figures 24 to 30.

Mode No	Mode No.1	Mode No.2	Mode No.3	Mode No.4	Mode No.5	Mode No.6	Mode No.7	Mode No.8	Mode No.9	Mode No.10
Type 1	0.425	0.558	0.713	0.722	0.760	0.907	0.924	1.087	1.494	1.693
Type 2	0.475	1.942	2.004	2.089	2.676	2.706	2.733	3.065	4.702	5.121

Table 8-Natural frequency of whole transformer system in Hz

rable 9-Natural frequency of separate transformer system in fiz	ural frequency of separate transformer sy	ystem in Hz
---	---	-------------

Mode No	Mode No.1	Mode No.2	Mode No.3	Mode No.4	Mode No.5	Mode No.6	Mode No.7	Mode No.8	Mode No.9	Mode No.10
Type 1	43.382	43.382	70.829	70.829	137.51	177.68	211.06	211.06	401.92	493.27
Type 2	5.090	5.090	29.212	29.212	29.289	29.289	79.156	94.597	94.597	127.92

1	016	i Ph
are l		Jan,
2	93	12

⊕omoorepeyman.



Figure 24-Mode no.1 in type 1transformer as shift of tank in the direction of Y with frequency of 0.42 Hz



Figure 25-Mode no.2 in type 1transformer as shift of tank in the direction of Y with frequency of 0.56 Hz





Figure 26-Mode 268 in type 1as bending of main bushing in the direction of Y with frequency of 42.57 Hz



Figure 27-Mode 269 in type 1as bending of main bushing in the direction of Y with frequency of 42.57 Hz





Figure 28-Mode 1 in type 2as shift in the direction of Y of oil tank with frequency of 0.47 Hz



Figure 29-Mode 2 in type 2as shift in the direction of Y of oil tank with frequency of 1.94 Hz





Figure 29-Mode 3 in type 2as shift in the direction of Y of main tank with frequency of 2.73 Hz

(3) Time history analysis

Figure 31 shows lateral displacements in various levels of bushing in type 1 transformer. Figure 32 shows lateral displacements in type 2 transformer as well. Bushings in type 1 transformer in the direction of y show more displacements about 0.807 meters than to the direction of x. Since bushing specification is the same in both directions, amplification of displacement in one direction against another direction is due to difference in transformer specifications. In type 2, displacement in the direction of x is always lower than y direction because of more frequencies in x direction. Displacement in the direction among top, below and middle of type 1 bushing and figure 34 shows displacement related to y direction of type 2 bushing. Relative displacement of initial and end of bushing is very important to reach it fragility. As shown in following figures, relative displacement of initial and end of type 1 bushing in x direction is 28 mm and lower than displacement in y direction, i.e. 36 mm. However, in y direction, displacement of type 1 is 807 mm due to amplification that is much more than corresponding value of type 2, namely 69 mm.





Figure 31-Relative displacement of various levels of bushing of type 1 transformer



Figure 32 -Relative displacement of various levels of bushing of type 2 transformer




Figure 33-Displacement Ux in the initial and end of type 1 bushing



Figure 34-Displacement Ux in the initial and end of type 2 bushing

(4) Interaction of transformer and bushing

As told before, there are considerable differences between test results and actual observations of pervious earthquakes mainly due to interaction of transformer-bushing. Here, interaction effect is investigated through examining bushing model separately from transformer. In the previous section, difference between vibration period of individual bushing and whole transformer was examined. Dynamic analysis of time history was performed on separate bushing with condition of pervious problem.

Vertical displacement over transformer tank in the bushing base is a very effective parameter in seismic design of bushing that lead to flexibility of behaviour. Vertical displacements of bushing base for type 1 and 2 transformer are shown in figures 35 and 36, respectively.

Figure 37 show slight displacements U_x and U_y and magnification in various levels of independent bushing. Maximum lateral U_x and U_y are 9.7 mm and 12.9 mm respectively, while these parameters in middle bushing of transformer and on above of it are 37.2 mm and 8.7 mm respectively. Drastic discrepancy is visible in other levels between displacements of individual bushing and whole system. Displacements in two directions are differed slightly in individual bushing but in whole system there is high difference between them. Structural specifications of bushing are same in horizontal plan but in whole system, bushing is intensified only in y direction. This phenomenon isn't observed in individual bushing.



Figure 38 show low magnification of lateral displacements U_x and U_y in cap level of type 2 individual bushing with 0.16 mm and 0.21 mm respectively, while, in whole system, same values in middle bushing are 36.4 mm and 69 mm respectively. Generally, transformer interaction effect on bushing appears to be very considerable with comparison of displacements of two systems.



Figure 35-Vertical displacement over transformer tank on the base of middle bushing in type 1 transformer



Figure 36-Vertical displacement over transformer tank on the base of middle bushing in type 2 transformer









Figure 38-Lateral displacements Ux and Uy of various levels of individual bushing type 2

(5) Fragility function

Fragility function for major, intermediate and slight fragility is obtained using threedimensional linear dynamic analysis under selected earthquake for both types of transformer.

@omoorepeyman.ir

Normal distribution is selected for calculation of mean and standard deviation depends on fragility situation of system. In this distribution, variation factor is assumed to be 0.2. Fragility function for type 1 was obtained on the basis of response value in x direction of the transformer that hadn't been intensified.

a) minor fragility

In state of minor fragility for type 1, fragility is assumed as uplift connection between steel pocket and end of porcelain part of bushings that lead to oil leaking. Uplift is occurred due to vertical displacement in rotation of solid body. Vertical displacements are negligible in comparison with uplift, so bushing solid motion is considered as criterion of this fragility and defined as relative solid displacement between two ends of bushing porcelain. Relative displacement of two ends of bushing that lead to oil leaking in type 1 is 10.35 mm and in type 2 which is assumed for above porcelain is equal to 6.75 mm. Uplift of connection is computed as following:

Uplift Lateral relative displacement = Porcelain design Porcelain length

According to laboratory results, mean allowable value o uplift in connection for type 1 is considered to be 1.2 mm. Accelerations related to mean and standard deviations are 0.302g and 0.0605 g respectively. For type 2, the same criterion exists with mean and standard deviation of 0.238g and 0.047g. Concept of extracting fragility function together with allowable criterion of uplift displacement and response curve of type 1 and type 2 are illustrated in figures 39 and 40. Fragility function is defined as accumulative distribution function of normal distribution curve. Figures 41 and 42 show fragility curve related to minor damage for both type of transformers.





Figure 39-Concept of normal distribution of relative displacement in porcelain in fragility mode type 1



Figure 39-Concept of normal distribution of relative displacement in porcelain in minor fragility mode type 2





Figure 41-Fragility curve related to minor damage for transformer type 1



Figure 41-Fragility curve related to minor damage for transformer type 2



b) Moderate fragility

Moderate fragility is defined as porcelain fracture in main bushing due to bending. Depends on connection type of porcelain connection and metallic gasket, critical mode of stress concentration exist between ends of porcelain and metallic gasket of type 1 according to figure 22. Fragility criterion for smaller lateral displacement in type 2 is bending as well but for higher displacements, stress concentration occur between porcelain and metallic flange according to figure 23. In both types, fragility occurs due to more displacement and stress concentration in connection with porcelain so it is defined as fragility criterion for medium damage mode.

Contact surface in both type of transformer is considered to be 3% of total surface of burden removing. Maximum stress is obtained on the basis of maximum bending moment in connection. Allowable mean stress in porcelain is assumed to be equal to 31.55 MPa. Maximum concentration of response stress in contact surface of porcelain end and metallic gasket in type 1 is equal to 38.07 MPa. According to corresponding acceleration associated to allowable moderate stress of 31.55 MPa and standard deviation of 0.063 MPa are equal to 0.668g and 0.133g, respectively. In type 1, maximum stress concentration between end of porcelain end and metallic flange is 35 MPa. Mean and standard deviation values of acceleration for allowable stress of 31.55 MPa are 0.737g and 0.147g, respectively, according to figure 43. Fragility function of mean damage is considered to be similar to minor damage mode as accumulative distribution function of normal distribution curve. Figures 42 and 43 shows fragility curves of mean damage state for both types of transformers.



Figure 43-Concept of normal distribution of tensile stress in porcelain for moderate fragility mode in transformer type 1





Figure 44-Concept of normal distribution of tensile stress in porcelain for moderate fragility mode in transformer type 2

c) Severe damage

Severe damage of transformer is defined as bracing fragility in the base of the main tank of transformer. The main tank in any types of transformer has four bases in edges and each one involve six bolt with diameter of 27 mm. Bolt material is according ASTM-A325. Bolt capacity is controlled on the basis of shear and extension. Shear yield in both types leads to severe damage. According to Iranian standard of designing steel structure, maximum allowable shear stress for this type is 145 MPa. Maximum response of horizontal force in the most critical transformer support type 1 is 363.59 kN that cause shear stress of 105.9 MPa. In type 2, maximum horizontal force is 403.9 kN and maximum shear stress is 117.5 MPa. Mean and standard deviation of acceleration for allowable shear stress of 145MPa is 1.119g and 0.224 g for type 1, according to figure 45, respectively and 1.01g and 0.202g for type 2, according to figure 46. Fragility function of severe damage mode is defined similar to other mode as accumulative distribution function of time distribution curve. Fragility curves of severe damage mode for both types of transformers are shown in figures 45 and 46.





Figure 45-Concept of time distribution of shear stress in bracing bolt of transformer support type 1 in sever fragility mode



Figure 45-Concept of time distribution of shear stress in bracing bolt of transformer support type 2 in sever fragility mode

Transformer show better strength for oil leaking as minor fragility of type 2. In type 2, porcelains are separated with horizontal gasket and porcelain length is shorter than type 1. As a result, lateral relative displacement, which is considered as criterion of oil



leaking, is smaller. For moderate fragility mode, thin gasket in bushing of type 1 leads to direct contact of porcelain and metallic cover in comparison to type 2 that involve thicker gasket. More length of bushing in type 2 causes more moment, as well. In total, both types have similar strength for this level of damage. Type 1 shows better strength for severe fragility mode, because connection of radiators and oil pipes is fixed. Another reason is lower mass of type 1 than type 2.

(6) Comparison with other fragility curves

Two comparisons were carried out with following research results:

- Technical guideline of HAZUS99 that presents fragility curves of 230kV posts.
- Technical guideline of UWG that presents fragility curves of 230kV transformers. Figures 47 to 49, represent comparisons of minor, moderate and severe damages, respectively.



Figure 47-Comparison of fragility curves of transformers for minor fragility mode





Figure 48-Comparison of fragility curves of transformers for moderate fragility mode



Figure 49-Comparison of fragility curves of transformers for severe fragility mode

(7) Conclusion

- 1-Flexibility of transformer top plate affect considerably on dynamic specifications of bushing and sometimes cause resonance
- 2-Flexibility of transformer top plate decrease natural frequency of bushing.
- 3-Bushings are the most vulnerable member of transformer, because they displace considerably in earthquake.
- 4-Connection type of porcelain, metallic part and details of gasket connection are important factors in bushing vulnerability.

@omoorepeyman.ir

2-2-4-Method of determination of fragility function for 63.20kV

- a) Modelling post based on shape
- b) Member vulnerability curves
- 1) General assumption of model
- -63.20kV transformer

According to available data in tables 10 and 11, it is assumed that oil volume is about 6000 to 7000 litre and its total weight is 30 ton. Transformer capacity is 5000 to 20000 KVA and capacity of 30 ton transformer is about 10000 KVA.

Table 10-63.20 kV transformer data



Table 11-Weight and dimension of 1000KVA transformer

Manufacturer	weight	dimension width×height×depth
Toshiba	26tonf	3.4m x 3.3m x 3.4m
Nissin Electric	29.5tonf	4.9m x 3.2m x 3.4m



Figure 50-Transformer shape

According to data of table 11, model dimension and weight are as following Dimension: 3.4m×3.3m× 4.2m

V∉i ght : 28ton

Two models are considered for connection of body to foundation which one of them is considered with bracing bar and another is considered with wheel on rail. Figure 51 shows position of bracings and wheels.





Figure 50- Position of bracings and wheels

Table 12 presents weight of each part.

main body	24.92 ton
tank	2.8 ton
bushing	5.19 ton
total (including oil)	32.9 ton

Table 12-Weight of different parts

-Bushing and tank

Connection of accessory parts such as bushing and oil tank is considered as figure 52. Position of bracings and wheels are shown in figure 52. Dimension of these part are shown in figure 53.



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 53-Lateral view of accessory parts

2) Analysis of braced transformer with bracing bar Figure 54 shows analytical model of transformer.



Figure 54-Analytical model of 63.20 kV transformers

According to preliminary investigations, height of primary bushing is lower than 2m in Iran; however its structural and material characteristics weren't available so bushings with 20 and



70 percent of Japanese 500kV bushing height were considered for analysis. Weight and springy percent were considered to be equal to 20% and 10% of Japanese bushing respectively. Main body was modelled with linear beam element and concentrated wheels were placed in various elements.

-Bushing characteristics

Applied bushing characteristics are presented in tables 13 and 14. Figure 55 shows arrays of primary and secondary bushing.

Some analysis results are shown in figure 56. Oil tank vibrate with frequency lower than 10 Hz in the first. Primary bushing vibrates with frequency up to 14 Hz from the second to seventh mode. Secondary bushing vibrates up to frequency of 28 Hz from the eighth mode. Generally, bushings have frequency of more than 10 Hz so occurrence of resonance in them during earthquake is impossible.

-Fragility mode

Shear rupture of bracing bars is defined as predominant fragility mode of transformer and oil leaking from bushing end is defined as minor fragility mode.

3) Results regarding to braced transformer with bracing bar

-Predominant fragility mode

Each of four transformer base endures 8 tone weight of transformer. It is assumed that transformer is designed on the basis of 30% seismic intensity and each base has 4 bracing bar, namely each bracing bar is designed for 600 kg shear force. It is assumed that 12M bracing bar with allowable stress of 980 kg permanent load is used.

-In Japan, allowable shear and tensile stress for provisional load is 1.5 times of permanent load.

If this discrepancy from vibration is related to 25 allowable stresses of provisional load, variation factor is equal to:

$$1 \times \frac{1}{5/1} \times 0/5 = 0/165$$



碨 omoorepeyman.ir

(1) Primary bushing								
element	materials	cross section square meter	length meter	inertia (m4) Ix=Iy	Elastic module (t/m2)	Poisson ratio	damping ratio	
Beam1	Steel	2.38E-02	0.674	2.43E-03	2.1E+07	0.3	0.05	
Beam2	Steel	5.73E-01	0.016	5.62E-02	2.1E+07	0.3	0.05	
Beam3	Steel	4.95E-03	0.07	7.61E-05	2.1E+07	0.3	0.05	
Beam4	Porcelain	5.34E-02	0.336	1.64E-03	7.4E+06	0.3	0.05	
Beam5	Porcelain	4.56E-02	0.34	1.07E-03	7.4E+06	0.3	0.05	
Beam6	Porcelain	3.82E-02	0.332	6.58E-04	7.4E+06	0.3	0.05	
Beam7	Porcelain	3.08E-02	0.336	3.79E-04	7.4E+06	0.3	0.05	
Beam8	Steel	3.43E-03	0.112	1.02E-04	2.1E+07	0.3	0.05	

Table 13-Element specification of bushing beam

(2) Secondary bushing								
element	materials	cross section square meter	length meter	inertia (m4) Ix=Iy	Elastic module (t/m2)	Poisson ratio	damping ratio	
Beam1	Steel	1.55E-02	0.566	6.65E-04	2.1E+07	0.3	0.05	
Beam2	Steel	3.68E-01	0.008	1.38E-02	2.1E+07	0.3	0.05	
Beam3	Steel	2.61E-03	0.05	1.09E-05	2.1E+07	0.3	0.05	
Beam4	Porcelain	2.14E-02	0.126	1.72E-04	7.4E+06	0.3	0.05	
Beam5	Porcelain	1.71E-02	0.126	1.04E-04	7.4E+06	0.3	0.05	
Beam6	Porcelain	1.32E-02	0.126	5.79E-05	7.4E+06	0.3	0.05	
Beam7	Porcelain	9.75E-03	0.126	2.91E-05	7.4E+06	0.3	0.05	
Beam8	Steel	1.69E-03	0.062	1.97E-05	2.1E+07	0.3	0.05	

Table 14-Element specifications of bushing spring

	element	method	location	spring ratio	damping ratio
primary	Spring 1	translation	Bottom of Pocket	9800	0.05
	Spring 2	rotation	Bottom of Pocket	130340	0.05
	Spring 3	rotation	Bottom of sleeve	25990	0.05
	Spring 4	rotation	Bottom of porcelain	294	0.05
secondary	Spring 1	translation	Bottom of Pocket	5096	0.02
	Spring 2	rotation	Bottom of Pocket	686	0.02
	Spring 3	rotation	Bottom of sleeve	490	0.02
	Spring 4	rotation	Bottom of porcelain	640	0.02

1	0,15,7
000	Ö.
ATT ALL	TP/

@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 55-Analytic model of bushing





Fragility curve associated with shear fracture is shown in figure 57 in which shear force involve time distribution with variation factor of 0.3 and allowable value is equal to abovementioned number. For instance, figure 57 shows comparison of fragility curves of complete rupture mode of low voltage post based on HAZVS99 that one of them is related to seismic design. Obtained fragility curve based on shear rupture of bracing bar is similar to HAZVS99 curves, but without seismic design. However, it must be noticed that these fragility curves are related to whole post, not a transformer.

When acceleration of 500gal is applied in shorter direction, namely y direction, resultant reaction is equal to 4.54 ton shear and 3.04 ton tensile force. So, for acceleration of 500gal, tensile force is lower than half of weight, therefore, tensile fragility mode occurs rarely. In some posts, transformers only has radiator in one direction. In these cases, radiator that consists about 40% of the total weight is analysed according to figure 58. In this case, tensile force is unequal in two directions but in the case of input acceleration of 500gal is lower than transformer weight.



Figure 57-Transformer fragility curve in predominant fragility mode



⊕omoorepeyman.ır



Figure 58-Transformer model with radiator in one side

-Minor fragility mode

Minor fragility is assumed as cracking in the end of bushing and oil leaking that occurs as 0.01 degree of spring element. In this case, fragility curve has variation function of 0.2 as figure 59.



Figure 59-Fragility curve of transformer in minor fragility mode

4) Analysis of transformer situated on wheel

In this section, transformer situated on wheel is analysed in which, main body is positioned on four wheels that is located on two rails. Part of a wheel situated on rail is modelled as a node



spring against horizontal motion. Direction of input acceleration is assumed to be in the direction of rail. If rail surface is smooth and rectangle, ground horizontal movement isn't translated to transformer in rail direction. But in presence of friction, transformer moves as well. In this case, transformer displacement must be absorbed by bushing. If this displacement is higher than allowable value of bushing, it causes major fragility. Figure 60 show position of spring elements in which rotational freedom degrees are locked.



Figure 60-Position of node springs in the wheel position of transformer

Figure 61 shows specifications of connecting element that are presented as nonlinear elastic. Total weight is equal to 32 ton then each wheel receives 8 ton force. In the perpendicular direction to rail it is assumed that connecting elements become plastic in acceleration of 1g, namely transformer is putted out of rail according to figure 61. Primary hardness k_0 is assumed to be 1e5 that show plastic solidity specification. Similar to behaviour in the direction of rail, wheel motion is initiated by force of 8e-3 with assumption of friction factor of 0.001. In vertical direction, when tensile force is equal to weight, spring become plastic. In the bottom direction, spring shows linear behaviour with sufficient hardness of k_0 .

According to figure 67, in a transformer with above-mentioned specifications, three sine waves are produced in nodes of 201 to 204 in ground level that have frequencies of 1Hz and 2 Hz. Maximum corresponding amplitude are 500 gal, 300 gal and 100 gal respectively.

Spring ratios k1 in plastic range or rotation mode of wheel are 0.1 t/m, 1t.m, 10t/m and 100t/m. Figure 62 shows relation between springy and maximum displacement based on input amplitude parameter. Figure 63 shows relation between input acceleration and maximum displacement based on parameter of springy ratio.



emoorepeyman.ir



Figure 61-Specifications of connecting element



Figure 62 -relation between springy and maximum displacement based on input amplitude parameter



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 63-Relation between input acceleration and maximum displacement

The smaller springy ratio, the higher maximum displacement in input frequency of 1 Hz, based on figure 63. In the input frequency of 2Hz, maximum spring is converged to smaller value of displacement of input frequency of 1Hz without regarding springy ratio.

Figure 63 indicates that maximum displacement is more affected from input frequency that from vibration amplitude. If it is assumed that allowable displacement of bushing transformer and its connected wires to be 50 cm then major fragility never occur in input frequency of more than 2Hz. However, with increment of input frequency, this frequency become nearer to the natural bushing frequency and bushing fragility probability is increased.

During change of ground movement direction, direction of wheel movement is changed with special phase difference that some changing friction occurs from rotational friction to sliding friction means that direction of body movement changes with higher friction force.

In order to modelling this phenomenon, specification of spring in figure 61 is considered as two-linear with kinematic hardening.

Figure 64 show an example of displacement time history. Transformer body show permanent vibration after ending ground movement. In practice, body will have some permanent displacement depends on potential energy and friction. Figure 65 shows relation between springy ratio and maximum displacement in terms of input parameter of amplitude. Figure 66 shows relation between input amplitude and maximum displacement in terms of springy ratio parameter. Figure 67 show one sample of displacement force history.





Figure 64-Displacement time history

(K₁=1tonf/m, Input 2Hz, acc.amplitude100gal)



Figure 65-Relation between springy ratio and maximum displacement



Figure 66-Relation between input amplitude and maximum displacement





移動硬化レール置き63kV変圧器電中研No1碍子の20%80%

Figure 67-Displacement force history of connecting spring

(K₁=1tonf/m, Input: 2Hz, acc. Amplitude: 100gal)

In non-linear elastic analysis, if springy ratio in plastic range is low, i.e. rail is assumed to be smooth; displacement of connecting spring is amplified. In two-linear behaviour, connecting spring displacement isn't depended on input frequency (figure 65) and it is assumed that transformer rail isn't as smooth as railway. Springy ratio of k1=1e-3t/m is considered to prevent error increment. Figure 68 shows relation between input amplitude and maximum displacement in terms of input frequency. It is assumed that transformer body displacement or connecting spring displacement that is computed on the basis of input acceleration and allowable displacement have time distribution. μ of time distribution is shown in figure 69. Standard deviation is computed with assumption that difference between 1Hz and 2Hz is equal to $\mu \pm 3\sigma$. Moreover, it is assumed that mean allowable displacement is 1m and its variation factor is 0.1.

5) Results related to transformer with wheeled base

Figure 70 shows related fragility curve. As figure 68, HAZUS99 fragility curves are presented for comparison. As a result, fragility probability is more than the rupture probability of bracing bar due to movement of transformer body on rail.



碨omoorepeyman.Ir



Figure 68-Relation between input amplitude and maximum displacement

- 6) Summary
 - Following fragility curves are compared in figures 69 and 70:
 - a) fragility curve of major mode of transformer placed on rail
 - b) fragility curve of major mode of transformer anchored with bracing bar
 - c) fragility curve of major mode of transformer



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 70-Fragility curve of 63.20kV transformer

2-2-5-Method of determination of fragility functions for 20.04 kV

- a) Over-ground transformers
- 1) Assumption of general model
- -Weight and dimension

Figure 71 shows an example of 20.04 kV transformers. Estimated dimension of transformer is shown in this figure. Weight of transformer and its oil is 2 and 1 ton, respectively.

if this transformer is to be assumed similar to 22.66 kV Japanese transformers in which oil is sealed with nitrogen, its minimum weight is 7 ton and capacity is 2000 to 3000 kV according to table 15. Comparison of transformer specification, capacity of Iranian transformer is lower.



@omoorepeyman.ir

Manufacturer	weight	
Toshiba	3.0m x 2.7m x 2.7m	7ton
Nissin Electric.	2.0m x 2.5m x 2.9m	9ton

Table 15-Weight and dimension of 22kV Japanese transformer

Model of this transformer is shown in figure 72. With assumption of 1000kVA capacity, dimension of this transformer is as following:

Dimension:1705Mm×1225×3517=z ×y × x

Weight: 2880Kg

Therefore, analytic model specifications are assumed as following:

Dimension: $z \times y \times x = 1600 \text{Mm} \times 1200 \times 1700$

3000Kg:Weight:



Figure 72-Model of Japanese transformer

Weight of the oil tank is assumed to be about 10 percent of total weight. Regarding bushing, 30 kg is considered for primary bushing and 15 kg is considered for secondary bushing. In Japan, weight of 6kV bushing is considered to be 15kg. Table 16 represents weight of various parts of the model.



Main body	2.580ton
tank	0.3ton
bushing (30kg x 3 + 15kg x 3)	0.135ton
total weight involving oil	3.015ton

 Table 16- weight of various parts of the model



Figure 73-Weight distribution of various part of body

Bushing position

Figure 74 shows bushing position. Position of wheels is shown in figure 75. Bushing height is considered as figure 76. Figure 77 shows upper level of transformer.







@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 75-Foundation status



Figure 76-Lateral view of bushing and tank



Figure 77-Upper level of transformer

2) Analytical model

Figure 78 shows analytical model of transformer. Main body and bushing were constructed with linear beam elements. Rolling springs used for bushing and main body was positioned on a wheeled metallic bar that was modelled with non-linear connecting elements.



-Bushing specifications

Bushing specifications are presented in tables 17 and 18 and figure 68 for analysis. Figure 69 shows relation between springy ratio of rolling spring and bushing flange dimension. It is assumed that d=h=10cm, t=1cm and springy ratio to be calculated on the basis of table 18.



Figure 78-Analytical model of 20.0.04 kV transformer



@omoorepeyman.ir

bushing	element	material	cross section	inertial moment	elastic module	Poisson factor	damping ratio
primary	Beam1	Steel pocket	1.4E-02	5.3E-06	2.1E+07	0.3	0.05
	Beam2	Porcelain	5.0E-03	3.0E-06	7.4E+06	0.23	0.05
	Beam3	Porcelain	5.0E-03	3.0E-06	7.4E+06	0.23	0.05
secondary	Beam4	Steel pocket	1.4E-02	5.3E-06	2.1E+07	0.3	0.05
	Beam5	Porcelain	2.8E-03	2.0E-06	7.4E+06	0.23	0.05
	Be am6	Porcelai n	2.8E-03	2.0E-06	7.4E+06	0.23	0.05

Table 17-Specification of beam elements

Table 18-Spacification of rolling spring

	element	position	springy ratio (tonf*m/rad)	damping ratio
primary	Spring 1	Bottom of Pocket	670	0.02
	Spring 2	Bottom of porcelain	500	0.02
secondary	Spring 3	Bottom of Pocket	670	0.02
	Spring 4	Bottom of porcelain	500	0.02



Figure 79-Analytical model of bushing

-Foundation specifications

It is assumed that transformer is situated on wheeled metallic bar. Similar to 63.20 kV transformer, non-linear connection is used for displacement modelling in three-directions. Rolling degree of freedom is locked and bushing end is fixed. According to performed visits, wheel brake was situated next to it on the metallic beam. Figure 70 shows connection element specification in the model involving brake. Weight of wheel itself is 750 kg. It is assumed that the wheel moves when lateral force component of earthquake in the vertical direction of brake gradient is more than weight in the direction of brake gradient. In figure 80, P1 is considered as following:





Figure 80-Springy sate in flange part

 P_1 =750 tanf × tan 45 = 1/21 tan f

(5)

If wheel movement is more than L_1 , it is assumed that wheel ascends from brake and L_1 is equal to 5cm. Springy ratio K_0 and K_2 are assumed as following:

K0 = -K2 = 1/2 E + 3 t/m (6)

While K₁, K₃ and K₄ are assumed to be little value as small as $\frac{K_0}{10000}$. Specifications of

connection element that intercept rail are shown in figure 81. In this direction, if lateral force is higher than maximum static friction force, wheel will move. It is worthwhile to note that there isn't any brake or connection to prevent sliding. Static friction coefficient M_s is assumed to be equal to 1 and wheel weight is reduced to half due to earthquake acceleration. In this case, maximum static friction force P1 is equal to:

$$P_1 = 0/75 \times \frac{2}{3} \times 1 = 0/5 \tan f$$
 (7)

Sliding friction coefficient M_K is assumed to be half of static friction coefficient μ_s and $P_2 = 250 kgf$. Primary hardness K_0 is given as following:

$$\mathbf{K}_0 = 0/5\mathbf{E} + 3\mathbf{TANf}/\mathbf{m} \tag{8}$$

K1 value is assumed to be equal to $\frac{k_0}{10000}$ that is small sufficiently. It is assumed that in vertical direction, if vertical earthquake force is bigger than weight, wheel rises. Moreover, K0 assumed to be equal to $3\tan f/m + 0.5E$ to prevent movement toward bottom.





Figure 81-Specification of connection element

Input of sustainable three sine waves system is considered with frequencies of 2, 1 and 0.5. In severe fragility, transformer movement is perpendicular to rail. If brake function is good, high earthquake force must be created to rise the wheel therefore its probability is very low and it is confirmed by analytical investigations.

In the direction perpendicular to rail, it is assumed that sliding more than 5cm leads to outgoing from rail. In this case, transformer falls and bushing is threatened severely. In the case of allowable sliding length, normal distribution with mean of 5cm and variation factor of 0.2 is considered. Minor fragility mode is considered as cracking in porcelain. Figure 82 shows allowable stress of bushing bending that its maximum value is 200 kg/m². It is assumed that cracking occur in 1% of this stress. Associated variation factor is considered to be 0.15. After analysis of eigenvalues, natural frequency of under body connection spring was obtained more than 10 Hz and natural frequency of bushing itself was obtained equal to 30Hz, so resonance probability is very low.



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 82-Allowable bending stress of bushing

3) Results

Figure 83 shows the relation between input acceleration amplitude and sliding distance perpendicular to rail axis on the basis of input frequency parameter. As apparent in the figure, sliding increase with increment of frequency so results related to frequency of 5Hz is used for reliability. Figure 84 shows fragility curve of major fragility mode that is outgoing from rail. It is assumed that rail movement involve normal distribution with variation factor of 0.4. Variation factor of allowable value of movement is the same and its mean is 5cm. Low voltage posts in general fragility mode are given in figure 85 for the sake of comparison that one of them is related to seismic design and another lack any seismic design. More vulnerable fragility curve in anchored transformer mode is obtained from HAZUS99 model.



@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 84-Fragility curve of major mode of 20.0.04 kV transformer

Figure 85 shows relation between bending moment and input acceleration amplitude for primary bushing. Moment with bending stress factor was obtained in section module. Since primary bushing is considered more vulnerable than secondary bushing, cracking of primary bushing is accounted as minor fragility mode. Bending moment value is equal to 0.18m. Figure 86 shows fragility curve of minor mode in comparison with HAZUS99 curves.



Figure 85- Relation between bending moment and input acceleration amplitude for primary bushing





Figure 86-Fragility curve of minor mode for 20.0.04 transformer in comparison with HAZUS99 curves

2-2-6-Fragility curve of 230.63kV current transformer

a) Introduction

Function of current transformers is to decrease current. Three-dimensional modal and time history analysis was performed under accelergraph of the Kobe earthquake. Moreover, dynamic analysis performed under three sine waves with frequency equal to natural frequency, in order to investigate resonance phenomenon in equipment. Fragility curve was prepared to evaluate vulnerability. In this case, major, moderate and minor modes are presented, as well.

- b) Current transformer model
 - Current transformer model was prepared according to figure 87. In general, these equipments are classified according to bushing shape and geometry. Bushing section of these transformers is variable and its specifications are presented in table 87.




Figure 87-Three-dimensional finite element model of current transformer

It is assumed that equipment base is fixed in four corners. Each fixed base involves 2 bracing bar with diameter of 16mm with ASTM-A307 material. Table 19 presents other structural and geometric specifications of the model.



Specifications	dimension			
dimension of main structure	0.70*0.70			
dimension of foundation	1.5*1.5*0.85			
height of main structure	1.7			
total length of bushing	3.68			
length of porcelain part	2.78			
height of main structure from foundation	6.13			
number of section specifications	21			
base type	Fixed			
section of bracing elements	L50*50*6			
section of pillar elements	L65*65*8			
elastic module of steel section	206000			
elastic module of porcelain section	99800			
pedal diameter of porcelain (CM)	47.5			
thickness of porcelain (CM)	3			
head diameter of porcelain	45			
diameter of bracing bar	16			
thickness of metallic plate above tank	20			

Table 19-Structural specifications of transformer

In order to modeling equipment, main structure, metallic ca, porcelain and its mass and head are modelled by three-dimensional elements, shell element, beam element, concentrated mass element and pipe element respectively. Modelling was performed using ANSYS6.1. Details of metallic gasket are presented in figure 88.



Figure 88-Details of gasket connection in current transformer

- c) Modal analysis
 - Modal analysis was performed to calculate natural vibration frequencies. The Ten first obtained modes are presented in table 20. In the two first modes, bushing is undergone deformation of upper metallic cap plate as its solid rotation. Vibrations of the ten first modes are presented in figures 89 to 98.



Mode	Mode	Mode	Mode	Mode	Mode	Mode	Mode	Mode	Mode	Mode
Number	No.10	No.9	No.8	No.7	No.6	No.5	No.4	No.3	No.2	No.1
Frequency	97.593	72.835	72.786	69.404	55.124	22.314	22.171	6.2707	2.8868	2.8865
Frequency	97.593 NODAL SOLU: STEP=1 SUB =1 FREQ=2.887 UX TOP RSYS=0 DMX =.0848: SMN =449 SMX =.449E	72.835	72.786	69.404	55.124	22.314	22.171	6.2707 May	2.8868	2.8865
	44	9E-03 350E-	250E-03	150E-03	.499E-04 .49	.150E 9E-04	-03 .250E-0	.350E-03 3	.449E-03	

Table 20-Natural frequencies of current transformer in terms of Hz

Figure 89-Shape of the first mode of current transformer with frequency of 2.8865 Hz





@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 92-Shape of the fourth mode of current transformer with frequency of 22.171 Hz







Figure 94-Shape of the sixth mode of current transformer with frequency of 55.124 Hz



@omoorepeyman.ir







@omoorepeyman.ir



Figure 98-Shape of the tenth mode of current transformer with frequency of 97.593 Hz

d)Time history analysis

Two linear time history analyses were performed that one of them was performed under the Kobe earthquake and another was performed under sine waves (with common frequency equal to 2.887 Hz and maximum acceleration of 0.5g to investigate resonance phenomenon on the basis of the Japanese code for bushing analysis). Lateral displacements of various levels of current transformer under these loadings are shown in figures 99 and 100.





Figure 99-Lateral displacement in various levels under the Kobe earthquake





Figure 100-Lateral displacement in various levels under the Kobe earthquake



@omoorepeyman.ir





- e) Fragility function
 - Fragility functions for minor, moderate and major modes were obtained on the basis of above-mentioned three-dimensional linear analyses. Normal distribution with standard deviation and mean appropriate with fragility mode was used for allowable values and variation factor equal to 0.2 was assumed for them.
- f) Minor fragility

Minor fragility in this equipment is as rising or interaction of connection between end of porcelain and metallic cap that leads to oil leaking. This interaction is due to vertical displacement and soil rotator motion which the latter is more than the former and so is



@omoorepeyman.ir

considered as criterion. Soil rotation is defined as lateral relative displacement between top and bottom of porcelain part. According to results of the experiments, its allowable mean is assumed to be 1mm and soil relative displacement between top and bottom of porcelain that lead to oil leaking is 11.62mm. Interaction in connection is calculated on the basis of soil lateral relative displacement as following:

Connection interaction Lateral relative displacement
Porcelain diameter Porcelain length

- Relative displacement and its relative value in y direction is the most critical value. Maximum lateral displacement in bushing between initial and end of porcelain in y direction for the Kobe earthquake with maximum acceleration of 0.8178g was obtained equal to 33mm but for tree sine waves with maximum acceleration of 0.5g is calculated equal to 21.4 mm and so, sine loading is more critical. Accelerations associated with mean and standard deviation are 0.271and 0.054g, respectively. Therefore, fragility function is obtained as accumulative distribution function of the response normal distribution.
- g) Moderate fragility mode
 - Moderate fragility mode is defined as fracture of porcelain in main bushing due to bending moment. Related criterion of lateral displacement is lower than bending moment depends on type of connection between porcelain and metallic cap but for higher displacements, stress concentration in the direct contact of porcelain and metallic part is according to figure 87. This contact area is considered about 3% of total area during removal of load.
 - Maximum stress is considered based on maximum bending moment and axial force in connection. Allowable mean stress in porcelain is assumed to be 14.34 MPa. Maximum available concentrated stress in contact surface is 15.81 MPa, as well. Acceleration values associated with mean allowable stress of 14.34 MPa with standard deviation of 0.029 MPa are 0.742g and 0.148g, respectively.
- f) Major fragility mode
 - Major fragility mode is defined as fragility in bracing bars of supporting structure base. Main structure has 4 bases situated in four corners, each have two bracing bar with diameter of 16mm of ASTM-A307 material and their capacity is controlled on the basis of shear and extension. Tensile fracture in current transformers is more critical. Allowable tensile stress of these bracing bars is 92.95 MPa, according to Iranian standard.
 - Tensile force of maximum response in the most critical base is 26.1 kV that leads to tensile stress of 92.55 MPa. Mean and standard deviation of acceleration for allowable shear stress of 92.95 MPa is 0.821g and 0.164g respectively. Fragility function of major fragility mode is accumulative distribution function of normal distribution curve.



@omoorepeyman.ir